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#### Abstract

It is proved that $(2+1)$-dimensional (space $x, y$; time $t$ ) positive exact shock wave solutions of two discrete Boltzmann models exist. For each density $N_{i}$, these solutions are linear combinations of three similarity shock waves, $N_{i}=n_{0 i}+\sum_{j} n_{j i}\left[1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\gamma_{j} x+\rho_{j} t\right], j=1,2,3\right.$. Two models with four independent densities are investigated: the square discrete-velocity Boltzmann model and the model with eight velocities oriented toward the eight corners of a cube. The positivity problem for the densities is nontrivial. Two classes of solutions are considered for which the two first similarity shock wave components depend on only one spatial dimension, $y_{j}=$ const $\cdot \tau_{j}, j=1,2$. For the positivity, if $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$, it is sufficient to prove that the 16 asymptotic shock limits $n_{0 i}, n_{0 i}+n_{3 i}, \sum_{j=0}^{2} n_{j i}, \sum_{j=0}^{3} n_{j i}$ are positive. The density solutions are built up with five arbitrary parameters and we prove that there exist subdomains of the arbitrary parameter space in which the 16 shock limits are positive. We study numerically two explicit shock wave solutions. We are interested in the movement of the shock front when the time is growing and in the possible appearance of bumps. In the space, at intermediate times, these bumps represent populations of particles which are larger than at initial time or at equilibrium time.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

There has been much study of discrete Boltzmann models, where the velocities can only take the discrete values $\mathbf{v}_{i},\left|\mathbf{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right|=1$, in the hope of finding useful results for both kinetic theory and fluid mechanics. Since the popular

[^0]Broadwell ${ }^{(1)}$ model, which provided for the first time an explicit solution of an infinite-strength shock, many others have been proposed. ${ }^{(2)}$ To each velocity $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ is associated a density $N_{i}$ and for the $N_{i}$ with two spatial coordinates we must consider models velocities in a plane or in a three-dimensional space.

In $(1+1)$ dimensions (space $x$, time $t$ ), the exact solutions are the sums of two similarity shock waves, ${ }^{(3)}$ and four classes of different solutions are known: (1) shock waves, ${ }^{(3)}$ (2) periodic propagating solutions, ${ }^{(3)}$ (3) solutions that are periodic in space but nonpropagating in time, ${ }^{(3-5)}$
(4) densities relaxing toward nonuniform Maxwellians. ${ }^{(3)}$

In the $(2+1)$-dimensional space, exact solutions are missing. The discovery of exact two-spatial-dimensional solutions could help toward the theoretical understanding of these models. From the physical point of view it is clear that $(2+1)$-dimensional solutions are more realistic than $(1+1)$-dimensional solutions. As we shall see, the construction of such solutions is relatively simple; the great difficulty is the positivity condition.

The aim of this paper is twofold. First, to give a rigorous proof of the existence of positive shock waves, and second, to explore some physical aspects of these solutions.

We consider two models; the first is the square-velocity model ${ }^{(2-6)}$ attributed to Maxwell with $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{3}$ along the positive $x$ and $y$ axes, $\mathbf{v}_{1}+\mathbf{v}_{2}=\mathbf{v}_{3}+\mathbf{v}_{4}=0$, leading to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{1 t}+N_{1 x} & =N_{2 t}-N_{2 x}=-N_{3 t}-N_{3 y}=-N_{4 t}+N_{4 y} \\
& =a N_{3} N_{4}-N_{1} N_{2}, \quad a>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The second model is cubic, ${ }^{(7)}$ with eight velocities oriented toward the eight corners of a cube, with four independent $N_{i}\left(N_{6}=N_{1}, N_{5}=N_{2}, N_{8}=N_{3}\right.$, $N_{7}=N_{4}$ ), and the equations reduce to (1.1) with the change of variables $(x+y) / 2 \rightarrow x,(y-x) / 2 \rightarrow y$. The total mass is $M=\sum_{i} N_{i}$ with $i=1, \ldots, 4$ for the first model and $i=1, \ldots, 8$ for the second one. Both mass and momentum conservation laws hold. For instance, $M_{t}+\partial_{x} J_{(x)}+\partial_{y} J_{(y)}=0$ with components $J_{(x)}=N_{1}-N_{2}$ and $J_{(y)}=N_{3}-N_{4}$ for the momentum J. For $a>0$ but $a \neq 1$ the microreversibility is violated. Introducing ${ }^{(6)}$ the relative entropy $H=\sum N_{i} \log \left(N_{i} / \alpha_{i}\right), \alpha_{i}>0, \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=a \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}$, we find from (1.1), as usual, $H_{i}+\left(\partial_{x} \cdots+\partial_{y} \cdots\right) H \leqslant 0$.

The similarity shock waves are

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=n_{0 i}+n_{i} / D_{i}, \quad D=1+d \exp (\tau y+\gamma x+\rho t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0 i}, n_{i}, \tau, \gamma, \rho, d>0$ are constants, while the $(2+1)$-dimensional solutions are simply the sums of such solutions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=n_{0 i}+\sum_{j} n_{j i} / D_{j}, \quad D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\gamma_{j} x+\rho_{j} t\right), \quad d_{j}>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (1.3) into (1.1) and writing that the coefficients of $D_{j}^{-1}, D_{j}^{-2}$, const, $\left(D_{m} D_{p}\right)^{-1}, m \neq p$, are zero, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{j 1}\left(\rho_{j}+\gamma_{j}\right)= & n_{j 2}\left(\rho_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right)=-n_{j 3}\left(\rho_{j}+\tau_{j}\right)=n_{j 4}\left(\tau_{j}-\rho_{j}\right) \\
= & a n_{j 3} n_{j 4}-n_{j 1} n_{j 2}=-a\left(n_{03} n_{j 4}+n_{04} n_{j 3}\right)+n_{01} n_{j 2}+n_{02} n_{j 1}  \tag{1.4}\\
& a n_{03} n_{04}=n_{01} n_{02} \\
& a\left(n_{m 3} n_{p 4}+n_{m 4} n_{p 3}\right)=n_{m 1} n_{p 2}+n_{m 2} n_{p 1}, \quad m \neq p
\end{align*}
$$

Neglecting the $m \neq p$ relations, we see that the others represent the conditions for each $j$ th component to be similarity solutions. However, (1.1) is not a linear system; in order for the sum to be a solution we must have supplementary conditions [the last of (1,4)]. For a sum of $N$ similarity components we have $N(N-1) / 2$ supplementary conditions. Even if the constraints (1.4) are compatible, the solutions are physically acceptable only if they lead to positive $N_{i}$.

In the sequel we consider a superposition of three similarity components with 25 parameters and 19 relations, leaving six arbitrary parameters. Although solutions satisfying (1.4) are easily found with the help of the computer, I was unable to find any positive solution. This means that we must understand the mathematical structure of the positivity constraints. Recently, ${ }^{(8)}$ for the simplest solutions (1.3), an analytic proof of the existence of positive solutions was shown to be possible. These solutions relax toward nonuniform Maxwellians; unfortunately, they are physically poor, because their total masses are constants.

The aim of this paper is to prove analytically that positive $(2+1)$ dimensional shock waves exist. What are the positivity constraints? In one spatial coordinate $x$ we only have two asymptotic shock liits ${ }^{(3)}$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for each $N_{i}$ at $t=0$. If these limits are positive, we can manage the $d_{j}$ so that $N_{i}>0$ for any $x$ value. In (1.3), let us define $D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp X_{j}$, $X_{3}=$ const $_{1} \cdot X_{1}+$ const $_{2} \cdot X_{2}$. In the $X_{1}, X_{2}$ plane at $t=0$ (or $x, y$ plane) six asymptotic shock limits exist for each $N_{i}$ (for instance, if the axis $X_{3}>0$ is in the first $X_{1}, X_{2}$ quadrant, we find $n_{0 i}, n_{0 i}+n_{1 i}, n_{0 i}+n_{2 i}, n_{0 i}+n_{2 i}+n_{3 i}$, $n_{0 i}+n_{1 i}+n_{3 i}, \sum n_{j i}, j=0, \ldots, 3$ ). Unfortunately, (1.4) is much too complicated to be solved analytically and we choose a simpler situation.

In this paper we assume that the first two $j=1,2$ components depend upon only one coordinate, $y+$ const $\cdot x$ at $t=0$ :

$$
D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left[\tau_{j}(y+\mu x)+\rho_{j} t\right], \quad \gamma_{j}=\tau_{j} \mu, \quad j=1,2
$$

In the $x, y$ plane only four asymptotic shock limits exist, depending on the $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ sign:
$\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0: \quad n_{0 i}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{03}=n_{0 i}+n_{3 i}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{2} n_{j i}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{3}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} n_{j i}$
$\tau_{1} \tau_{2}<0: \quad n_{0 i}+n_{j i}+n_{3 i}, \quad n_{0 i}+n_{j i}, \quad j=1,2$
In Appendix A it is shown that if the four asymptotic shock limits (1.4) are positive, then we can choose the $d_{j}$ such that the $N_{i}$ are positive.

In Sections 2 and 3 we prove (see Appendices B and C for the details) that in a space of five arbitrary parameters, from which we reconstruct all the $n_{0 i}, n_{j i}, \tau_{j}, \gamma_{j}, \rho_{j}$ parameters of the $N_{i}$, there exist subdomains where the $16 \Sigma_{i}$ (corresponding to $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ ) shock limits are positive. If we define $z_{j}=n_{j 4} / n_{j 3}, j=1,2, P=z_{1} z_{2}$, and $S=z_{1}+z_{2}$, the chosen five arbitrary parameters are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P, S, n_{0 i}>0, i=1,2,3\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mathematical structure of these shock limits, allowing an analytical positivity study, is provided by a factorization property. All $\Sigma_{i}$ are linear combinations of the four $n_{0 i}$ with $P, S$-dependent coefficients. Further, they can be written as second-degree $n_{03}$ polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{k}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{k^{\prime}}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P, S$-dependent coefficients (see Tables I and II). For each $\Sigma_{i}$ we seek the $n_{03}$ interval in which $\Sigma_{i}$ is positive and study the intersections of these 12 intervals. Further, we must compare the roots of the $\Sigma_{i}$ and we find that the intersection is not empty if the ratio $n_{01} / n_{02}$ has either a $P, S$-dependent lower or upper bound. All these calculations are tedious; however, invariance properties allow us to reduce the task with the possibility of finding $\Sigma_{2}$ from $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{4}$ from $\Sigma_{3}$.
(i) From (1.1) we see that $x \leftrightarrow-x$ is equivalent to $N_{1} \leftrightarrow N_{2}$. For $\Sigma_{1} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}$ we change $n_{01} \leftrightarrow n_{02}$ and for $j=1,2, n_{j 1} \leftrightarrow n_{j 2}$ [or $\mu \leftrightarrow-\mu$ from (1.3)]. For the exchange $n_{31} \leftrightarrow n_{32}$ we have introduced a $P, S$-dependent parameter $y_{3}$ in the formalism and $y_{3}=n_{31} / n_{32}$ becomes $1 / y_{3}$.
(ii) For $\Sigma_{3} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_{4}$ we change $n_{03} \leftrightarrow n_{04}$ and $n_{j 3} \leftrightarrow n_{j 4}$. From the definition of $z_{j}$, this is equivalent for $j=1,2$ to $z_{j} \rightarrow 1 / z_{j}$ or $P \rightarrow 1 / P$ and $S \rightarrow S / P$.

In Section 2 we choose the simplest case, $\mu=0$ in (1.3'), or the $j=1,2$ components only $y$ dependent at $t=0$. This is a pedagogical example for
which the mathematical machinery is tractable. The final result, Theorem 1, gives the explicit $P, S$ domain, the $n_{01} / n_{02}(P, S)$ upper bound, and the $n_{03}(P, S)$ interval for which all $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive. The price to be paid for this relative simplicity is that the microreversibility parameter $a$ is $P, S$ dependent and $a<1 / 3$, which excludes $a=1$.

In Section 3 we look at the more general case where the two first components are $y+\mu(P, S) x$ dependent at $t=0$. The mathematical analysis is more complicated than in Section 2, but we find positive solutions satisfying the microreversibility ( $a=1$ ). We give the expressions of the $\Sigma_{i}$ in terms of the arbitrary parameters; however, for the positivity we restrict the study to the case $S=-2(P+1)$. In Theorems 2 and 3 we find two subdomains of the arbitrary parameter space in which all $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive.

In Section 4 we choose two examples satisfying Theorems 1 and 2 , leading to $N_{i}>0$, and construct their total masses $M=\sum N_{i}$. For both examples we study numerically the equidensity lines $M=$ const at $t=0$ and the relaxation curves $N_{i}, M$ when the time is growing. For $M$ the four asymptotic shock limits become

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=\sum_{i} n_{0 i}, \quad \Sigma^{03}=\sum_{i} \Sigma_{i}^{03}, \quad \Sigma^{2}=\sum_{i} \Sigma_{i}^{2}, \quad \Sigma^{3}=\sum_{i} \Sigma_{i}^{3} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to a physical structure more interesting than in one spatial coordinate. These shock limits represent plateaus in the spatial coordinate plane separated by the shock domain. We find the two highest plateaus in the upstream domain, while the two lowest belong to the downstream domain. We look at the possible ways to decrease equidensity lines to link the highest plateau to the lowest one. We find two different scenarios. First, the equidensity lines decrease continuously from the highest plateau, cross the shock domain, and spread out into the downstream domain. In the second scenario the upstream and downstream domains are completely isolated by the shock front. A bump is always present in the shock domain. Looking at the displacement of the equidensity lines when the time is varying, the second scenario can appear. It can happen that for intermediate times, populations of particles larger than at initial time or at equilibrium exist. Physically, this can be explained by a compression of particles, while mathematically we explain this effect by a shifting of the $d_{j}$ parameters in $\left(D_{j}\right)$ to $d_{j} \exp \left(\rho_{j} t\right)$. We study also the movement of the shock when the time is growing.

## 2. MODELS WITH TWO SIMILARITY COMPONENTS WITH ONLY A y SPATIAL DEPENDENCE

We study the $(2+1)$-dimensional solutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{i}=n_{0 i}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j i} / D_{j}, \quad D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\gamma_{j} x+\rho_{j} t\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, 4
\end{gather*}
$$

The first two $n_{j i} / D_{j}, j=1,2$, components are $x$ independent. Our aim is to prove analytically that there exists a class of solutions $N_{i}$ such that the asymptotic shock limits $\Sigma_{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{i}^{0}=n_{0 i}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{2} n_{j i}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{03}=n_{0 i}+n_{3 i}, \quad \Sigma_{i}^{3}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} n_{j i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are positive. All details and proofs are given in Appendix B; here we quote only the main results. First we write down the expressions of the parameters of the solutions $N_{i}$ as functions of five arbitrary parameters. Second, we determine the $\Sigma_{i}$ in terms of these arbitrary parameters. Finally, in the five-dimensional parameter space we find a subspace where the $\Sigma_{i}$ as well as $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ are positive.

### 2.1. Solutions $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ (Appendices B.1, B.2)

There exist 19 relations among the 23 parameters $n_{0 i}, n_{j i}, \tau_{j}, \rho_{j}, \gamma_{3}$. However, since the microreversibility parameter $a>0$ is not fixed, one supplementary parameter is left. The solutions depend upon five arbitrary parameters, from which we must express all the others.

We follow the same method as for the previous construction of exact ( $1+1$ )-dimensional solutions. ${ }^{(3)}$ For each $j$ th component we define a scaling parameter which is the ratio of two well-defined $n_{j i}$. It turns out that all the other ratios $n_{j k} / n_{j i}$ are functions of these three scaling parameters. Further, one of these scaling parameters can be expressed as a function of the other two and we are left with only two of these scaling parameters. We obtain the $n_{j i}$ as linear combinations of the four $n_{0 i}$ with coefficients that are functions of the two remaining scaling parameters. Finally, the $\tau_{j}, \rho_{j}, \gamma_{3}$ are functions of the $n_{j i}$. We define two scaling parameters $z_{j}=n_{j 3} / n_{j 4}$ and choose for the five arbitrary parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P=z_{1} z_{2}, S=z_{1}+z_{2} ; n_{0 i}, i=1,2,3\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The microreversibility parameter $a$ is $P, S$ dependent, while $n_{04}$ and all
other parameters belonging to the first two $j=1,2$ components depend upon the five arbitrary ones:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=8 P / S(S+P+1), \quad n_{04}=n_{01} n_{02} / a n_{03}  \tag{2.4}\\
& n_{\mathrm{j} 3}= 2\left\{P\left[n_{03}\left(1+z_{j}\right)+2\left(n_{01}+n_{02}\right) / a\right]+n_{04}\left(z_{i}+P\right)\right\} \\
& \times\left[\left(z_{j}-z_{i}\right)\left(z_{j}-P\right)\right]^{-1}, \quad i \neq j  \tag{2.5}\\
& n_{j 4}= z_{j} n_{j 3}, \quad n_{j 1}=n_{j 2}=-2 z_{j} n_{j 3} /\left(1+z_{j}\right), \quad j=1,2  \tag{2.6}\\
& 2 \tau_{j} z_{j}=\left(z_{j}-1\right)\left[a\left(n_{03} z_{j}+n_{04}\right)+2 z_{j}\left(n_{01}+n_{02}\right) /\left(1+z_{j}\right)\right]  \tag{2.7}\\
& \rho_{j}=-\tau_{j} n_{j 3} /\left(n_{j 1}+n_{j 3}\right), \quad j=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

For the third component we introduce a third scaling parameter $y_{3}$, which is $S, P$ dependent:

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{3}=n_{31} / n_{32}, \quad\left(1+y_{3}\right)^{2}=4(P+1) y_{3} / S \\
y_{3}^{ \pm}=-B^{\prime} \pm\left(B^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad B^{\prime}=1-2(1+P) / S \\
n_{32}(P+1-S)=(P+1+S)\left(n_{02}+n_{01} / y_{3}\right)+2\left(n_{03} P+n_{04}\right)\left(1+1 / y_{3}\right) \\
n_{33}=-y_{3}(1+P) n_{32} / P\left(1+y_{3}\right), \quad n_{31}=y_{3} n_{32}, \quad n_{34}=P n_{33}  \tag{2.8}\\
\rho_{3} n_{33} n_{34}=\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right)\left(n_{31} n_{32}-a n_{33} n_{34}\right) / 2 \\
\tau_{3}\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right)=\rho_{3}\left(n_{34}-n_{33}\right) \\
\gamma_{3}\left(n_{32}+n_{31}\right)=\rho_{3}\left(n_{32}-n_{31}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We have constructed a five-parameter family of $N_{i}$ solutions. However, the physically acceptable solutions must have $N_{i}>0$, and if $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$, it is sufficient that the 16 shock limits $\Sigma_{i}$ given by (2.2) are positive. The four conditions $n_{0 i}>0$ are easily satisfied if we choose $n_{0 i}>0$ for $i=1,2,3$ and $P, S$ values such that $a$ is positive in (2.4).

### 2.2. Analytic Expressions for the $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}$ (Appendix B.3)

First we remark that all the $n_{j i}$ written down above are linear combinations of the four $n_{0 i}$, so that the same property holds for the $12 \Sigma_{i}$. Second, from the relation (2.4) for $n_{04}$ we see that $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}$ will be seconddegree polynomials in $n_{03}$ with coefficients that are functions of $P, S, n_{01}$, and $n_{02}$. However, there exist invariance properties:
(i) For $i=1,2$ the quadratic relations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{03} \Sigma_{1}=\Omega_{1}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{k}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{k^{\prime}}\right) \\
& n_{03} \Sigma_{2}=\Omega_{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{k^{\prime}}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{k}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Omega_{i}, A_{k}$, and $A_{k^{\prime}}$ functions of $P, S$, and eventually of $y_{3}$. In this last case $\tilde{A}_{k}=A_{k}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow 1 / y_{3}\right)$. From the relations $n_{j 1}=n_{j 2}, j=1,2, n_{31} / n_{32}=y_{3}$ we deduce that $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ if both $n_{01} \leftrightarrow n_{02}$ and $y_{3} \leftrightarrow 1 / y_{3}$.
(ii) Similarly we can obtain $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ if we exchange both $n_{03} \leftrightarrow n_{04}$ and $z_{j} \leftrightarrow 1 / z_{j}$ or $P \leftrightarrow 1 / P, S \leftrightarrow S / P$.
(iii) Are there relations between the $\Sigma_{i}$ of the first family $i=1,2$ and those $i=3$, 4 of the second one? As we show now, they share common roots $n_{03}=n_{0 j} A_{k}$ or $n_{0 j} \tilde{A}_{k}$. The condition [see (B.32)] for a common $\Sigma_{1}^{2}$, $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$ root is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{01}(1+P+S)+4 n_{04}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad n_{04} \rightarrow n_{01} n_{02} / a n_{03}, \quad n_{03} / n_{02}=-S / 2 P=A_{2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{2} n_{02}$ being one zero of $\Sigma_{1}^{2}$, it is also a zero of $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$. From the $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ symmetry in (ii), we deduce that $n_{03} / n_{04}=-(P+S+1) / 4 P=A_{1}$ is the common zero of $\Sigma_{1}^{2}, \Sigma_{4}^{2}$. In the same way, with the symmetry $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ of (i), we find that $n_{03}=n_{01} A_{2}$ is a zero common to $\Sigma_{3}^{2}, \Sigma_{3}^{2}$, while $n_{02} A_{1}$ is common to $\Sigma_{2}^{2}, \Sigma_{4}^{2}$. For $\Sigma_{2}^{03}, \Sigma_{3}^{03}$ the possible root is

$$
n_{04} 8(P+1)+n_{01}(S+P+1) S\left(1+1 / y_{3}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{03} / n_{02}=\tilde{A}_{3}=-(P+1) / P\left(1+1 / y_{3}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is in fact the common root. With the symmetries $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$, we deduce that $n_{01} A_{3}$ is a common zero of $\Sigma_{1}^{03}, \Sigma_{3}^{03} ; n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{4}$ is common to $\Sigma_{2}^{03}, \Sigma_{4}^{03}$; while $n_{02} A_{4}$ is common to $\Sigma_{1}^{03}, \Sigma_{4}^{03}$.

Finally, for each $\Sigma_{i}$ family there exist only four different roots and this result simplifies the positivity study of the $\Sigma_{i}$.

### 2.3. Sufficient Conditions So That All $\Sigma_{i}$ Are Positive (Appendices B. 4 and B. 5 and Table I)

In the five-dimensional parameter space, the analytic determination of a subspace in which all the $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive seems untractable. For each of the 12 second-degree $n_{03}$ polynomials, we must check both the sign of the coefficient of $n_{03}^{2}$ and the location of the two roots $n_{0 j} A_{k}$ or $n_{0 j} A_{k^{\prime}}$ and determine the intervals of $n_{03}$ in which $\Sigma_{i}>0$. Afterward we must check that the intersections of these 12 intervals are not empty. Fortunately, scaling parameters exist which simplify the discussion. Practically, the study of three parameters will be important.

We introduce a new arbitrary parameter $s$, a function of both $P$ and $S$, and which replaces $S$. We also define new functions deduced with the factorization of trivial factors:

$$
\begin{gather*}
s=-S /(P+1), \quad B_{i}=A_{i} P /(P+1), \quad B_{i}=A_{i} P /(P+1)  \tag{2.12}\\
\bar{n}_{03}=n_{03} P /(P+1), \quad \bar{\Sigma}_{i} n_{03}=\Sigma_{i}(s+1)
\end{gather*}
$$

In Table I the $12 \bar{\Sigma}_{i}$ are written down as second-degree $\bar{n}_{03}$ polynomials with roots $n_{0 j} B_{k}$ or $n_{0 j} \tilde{B}_{k}$. The important simplification is that only $s$ is present in the $B_{k}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{k}$ and in the coefficients of $\widetilde{n}_{03}^{2}$ (multiplied eventually by trivial $P$ factors).

Let us write $a, z_{j}$, and $y_{3}$ with the $s$ parameter:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a=s^{-1}(s-1)^{-1} 8 P /(P+1)^{2}, \quad y_{3}=y_{3}^{ \pm}=-(1+2 / s) \pm(2 / s)(s+1)^{1 / 2} \\
2 z_{ \pm}=s^{\prime}(P+1)\left(-1 \mp \delta^{1 / 2}\right), \quad \delta=1-4 P /[s(P+1)]^{2} \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

If we assume $P>0$ and, for instance, $s>1$, then the signs of $\bar{\Sigma}_{i}, \bar{n}_{03}$, and $B_{i}$ are those of $\Sigma_{i}, n_{03}$, and $A_{i}$. Further, $a$ is positive, and $y_{3}$ and $z_{j}$ are real. In Appendix B. 4 we prove the following theorem.

Table I. $\Sigma_{i}=\bar{n}_{03} \bar{\Sigma}_{i} /(s+1)$ for the Models of Section 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{E}_{1}^{2}=4\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{1}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{2}\right) \\
& \Sigma_{2}^{2}=4\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{2}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{1}\right) \\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{3}^{2}=(P+1) P^{-1}(s-1)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{2}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{2}\right) \\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{4}^{2}=(P+1)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{1}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{1}\right) 2 s \\
& \sum_{1}^{03}=\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{3}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{4}\right) 2\left(1+y_{3}\right) \\
& \bar{E}_{2}^{03}=\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{B}_{4}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{B}_{3}\right) 2\left(1+1 / y_{3}\right) \\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{3}^{03}=(P+1) P^{-1}\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{3}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{B}_{3}\right)(s-1) \\
& \Sigma_{4}^{03}=(P+1)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{B}_{4}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{4}\right)(-2) \\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{1}^{3}=\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{5}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{6}\right) 2\left(3+y_{3}\right) \\
& \Sigma_{2}^{3}=\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{B}_{6}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{B}_{5}\right) 2\left(3+1 / y_{3}\right) \\
& \Sigma_{3}^{3}=\left(\tilde{n}_{03}-n_{01} B_{5}\right)\left(\tilde{n}_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{B}_{5}\right)(P+1) P^{-1}(s-3) \\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{4}^{3}=\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{B}_{6}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-n_{02} B_{6}\right)(P+1) 2(s-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$S=-s(P+1), \bar{n}_{03}=n_{03} P /(P+1), y_{3}^{ \pm}=-(1+2 / s) \pm(s+1)^{1 / 2}(2 / s)$
$B_{1}=(s-1) / 4, B_{2}=s / 2, B_{3}=-\left(1+y_{3}\right)^{-1}, B_{4}=(s-1) y_{3} / 2\left(1+y_{3}\right)$
$B_{5}=(s-1) /\left(3+y_{3}\right)=2 B_{6}(s-1) /(s-3)$
$B_{6}=\left[2 s+(s-1) y_{3}\right] / 2\left(3+y_{3}\right)=\left[-2 y_{3}+s\left(1+y_{3}\right)\right] / 4\left(1+y_{3}\right)$
$\widetilde{B}_{3}=B_{3} y_{3}, \tilde{B}_{4}=B_{4} / y_{3}, \widetilde{B}_{5}=(s-1) y_{3} /\left(3 y_{3}+1\right)$
$\widetilde{B}_{6}=\left[s\left(1+y_{3}\right)-2\right] / 4\left(1+y_{3}\right)$

Theorem 1. The $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive if the following sufficient conditions on the arbitrary parameters are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
s>3, \quad P>0, \quad y=y_{3}^{-}, \quad 0<n_{01}<n_{02} B_{6} / B_{1},  \tag{2.14}\\
n_{01} B_{3}<n_{03} P /(P+1)<n_{01} B_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $\quad 4 B_{1}=s-1, \quad B_{3}=-1 /\left(1+y_{3}\right), \quad B_{6}=-\left[2 s+(s-1) y_{3}\right] / 2\left(3+y_{3}\right)$ positive. We explain this result. $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$, with eight positive roots, are positive if $\bar{n}_{03}$ is smaller than their lowest root, which is $n_{01} B_{1}$ if $n_{01} / n_{02}<$ $B_{6} / B_{1}<1$. With $\sum_{i}^{03}$ remaining positive inside the interval ( $n_{01} B_{3}, n_{02} B_{4}$ ), the inequality $B_{1}<B_{4}$ leads to (2.14).

The $\Sigma_{i}$ are really asymptotic $N_{i}$ liits if $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$. Since $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is (Appendix B.5) the product of two quadratic $\bar{n}_{03}$ polynomials with two positive roots, it remains positive for $\bar{n}_{03}$ smaller than these roots. This is true for $\bar{n}_{03}$ in the (2.14) interval. In conclusion, Theorem 1 leads to a class of positive $N_{i}$.

What are the possible $a$ values in (2.14)? From (2.13) we see that $a<1 / 3$, so that the $a=1$ value for the microreversibility is not possible.

In Section 4 we fully discuss a numerical example with a small $a$ value and $d_{j}$ parameters chosen so that $N_{i}>0$ in the whole $x, y$ plane. Here, as illustration, for a solution satisfying (2.14) with $a=0.3$ we report the numerical values for both the parameters of the $N_{i}$ and the $\Sigma_{i}$. Starting with $s=3.12$ (or $S=-6.86$ ), $P=1.2$, and $n_{02}=1$, we find $a=0.3, z_{+}=0.18, z_{-}=-6.68, y_{3}^{-}=-2.94, n_{01} \sup =0.042,0.515 n_{01}<$ $6 n_{03} / 11<0.53 n_{01}$. Choosing further $n_{01}=32 \times 10^{-2}$ and $n_{03}=31 \times 10^{-2}$, we obtain $n_{j 1}=-1.06, \quad-1.04, \quad 9 \times 10^{-5} ; \quad n_{j 2}=-1.06, \quad 1.04, \quad-3 \times 10^{-3}$; $n_{j 3}=0.45, \quad 0.24, \quad 8 \times 10^{-4} ; \quad n_{j 4}=-3.02, \quad-0.42, \quad 10^{-4} ; \quad \tau_{j}=1.95, \quad 1.91$, $58 \times 10^{-3} ; \rho_{j}=1.45,-1.33,0.64 ; \gamma_{j}=0,0,-0.13, j=1,2,3 ; \Sigma_{i}^{2}=10^{-2}$, $0.97,2.8,10^{-2} ; \Sigma_{i}^{03}=3 \times 10^{-2}, 1,3 \times 10^{-2}, 3.3 ; \Sigma_{i}^{3}=10^{-2}, 0.98,2.9,10^{-2}$, $i=1,2,3,4$.

## 3. MODELS WITH TWO SIMILARITY COMPONENTS DEPENDENT SPATIALLY ON ONLY $y+\mu x$

We study the $(2+1)$-dimensional solutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{i}=n_{0 i}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j i} / D_{j}  \tag{3.1}\\
D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\gamma_{j} x+\rho_{j} t\right), \quad \gamma_{j}=\mu \tau_{j}, \quad j=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

The first two $j=1,2$ components are spatially dependent on only $y+\mu x$ at $t=0$ and we recover the previous model for $\mu=0$. Our aim is still to prove
analytically that there exists a class of solutions $N_{i}$ such that the 16 asymptotic shock limits $\Sigma_{i}$ defined in (2.2) are positive. We have one more parameter, $\mu$; however, we assume that the microreversibility $a=1$ is satisfied, so that we still have five arbitrary parameters from which we deduce all others.

First we define the same five arbitrary parameters as in Section 2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z_{j}=n_{j 4} / n_{j 3}, j=1,2 \rightarrow P=z_{1} z_{2}, S=z_{1}+z_{2} ; n_{0 i}, i=1,2,3\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connection between the first two components and the third one is still established with $y_{3}=n_{31} / n_{32}$. However, $y_{3}$ is given by a cubic equation; this leads to a more complicated formalism for the analytic expression of the solutions in terms of the arbitrary parameters $P$ and $S$.

Second, we write down the $16 \Sigma_{i}$ quantities in terms of the arbitrary parameters. Due to the $y_{3}$ cubic equation and the complication of the formalism, we must keep in the expressions intermediate parameters $\mu(P, S)$, $y_{3}(P, S), \bar{n}_{3 i}(P, S)=n_{3 i} / n_{32}, i=1,2$. As in Section 2, the $\Sigma_{i}$ can be written down as linear combinations of the four $n_{0 i}$. A remarkable property arises, which unfortunately has only been verified in each case, but has not been deduced on a fundamental basis. We find always that the coefficient of $n_{04}$ is the product of the two corresponding ones for $n_{01}$ and $n_{02}$. This allows to write $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}$ as a second-degree $n_{03}$ polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{i} & =\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}+\sum_{j \neq 3} \alpha_{j i} n_{0 j}\right) \quad \text { if } \alpha_{4 j}=\alpha_{1 j} \alpha_{2 j} \\
& \rightarrow n_{03} \Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}+\alpha_{1 j} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}+\alpha_{2 j} n_{03}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Omega_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i j}$ only $P, S$ dependent. Fortunately, invariance properties $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ allow us to establish this factorization property only for $i=1$ and 3 and to deduce it for $i=2$ and 4. The factorization property (3.3) simplifies the study of the positivity of the $\Sigma_{i}$. We look at the signs of both the coefficient of $n_{03}^{2}$ and of the roots $n_{0 j}$ multiplied by $P, S$ functions. From this we can decouple the $P, S$ parameters from the $n_{0 j}$ ones. The study of the intersections of the different $n_{03}$ intervals in which the $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive is mainly reduced to a study of $P, S$-dependent functions.

Third, we seek a domain of the arbitrary parameter space in which $\Sigma_{i}>0$. The analytic expressions of $\mu, y_{3}, \bar{n}_{3 i}$ as functions of $P, S$ are very complicated in general, so we choose a simplified case occurring for $S=-2(P+1), 0<P<1$.

### 3.1. Solutions $\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ (Appendices $\mathbf{C .} 1$ and $\mathbf{C . 2}$ )

There exist 20 relations among the 25 parameters $n_{0 i}, n_{j i}, \tau_{j}, \gamma_{j}, \rho_{j}$, which must be determined from the five ( $P, S, n_{0 i}, i=1,2,3$ ) arbitrary ones. $\mu$ is only $P, S$ dependent, while $n_{04}$ is only $n_{0 j}$ dependent:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu^{2}=(1+P+S)^{2} /(1+P-S)^{2}-8 P(1+P+S) / S(1+P-S)^{2}  \tag{3.4}\\
n_{04}=n_{01} n_{02} / n_{03}
\end{gather*}
$$

We notice that we have two square-root determinations for $\mu$.
We discuss first the reconstruction of the $j=1,2$ components. We introduce the intermediate parameters $\bar{n}_{j i}=n_{j i} / n_{j 3}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{n}_{j 1}=2 z_{j} / C_{j}, \quad \bar{n}_{j 2}=2 z_{j} / E_{j}  \tag{3.5}\\
C_{j}=\mu-1-(\mu+1) z_{j}, \quad E_{j}=C_{j}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)
\end{gather*}
$$

which are functions of $\mu(P, S), P$, and $S$. We obtain the $n_{j 3}$ parameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j 3}=\left(-n_{03} z_{j}-n_{04}+n_{01} \bar{n}_{j 2}+n_{02} \bar{n}_{j 1}\right) /\left(z_{j}-\bar{n}_{j 1} \bar{n}_{j 2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we can obtain all the others, $n_{j 4}=z_{j} n_{j 3}, n_{j i}=\bar{n}_{j i} n_{j 3}, i=1,2$, and $\tau_{j}, \gamma_{j}$, and $\rho_{j}$ [Eq. (C.4)]. For the third component $j=3$, the intermediate parameters $y_{3}$ and $\bar{n}_{3 i}$ are linked by the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{n}_{33} / 2=(\mu-1) / C_{1} C_{2}-(\mu+1) y_{3} / E_{1} E_{2} \\
\bar{n}_{34} / 2 P=-(\mu+1) / C_{1} C_{2}+(1-\mu) y_{3} / E_{1} E_{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
\left(\bar{n}_{33}+\bar{n}_{34}\right) y_{3}+\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\left(1+y_{3}\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

with coefficients that are functions of $\mu(P, S), P$, and $S$. We notice that the elimination of $\bar{n}_{3 i}$ in (3.7) leads to a cubic equation for $y_{3}$, which is written down in Eq. (C.6). We find for $n_{32}$ an expression which allows us to determine all $n_{3 i}$ as well as $\tau_{3}, \gamma_{3}$, and $\rho_{3}$ [see Eqs. (C.7) and (C.8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{32}=\left(n_{03} \bar{n}_{34}+n_{04} \bar{n}_{33}-n_{01}-n_{02} y_{3}\right) /\left(y_{3}-\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2. Analytic Expressions of the $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}$ (Appendix C. 3 and Table II)

In Appendix C the study is performed for the three families $\Sigma_{i}^{03}, \Sigma_{i}^{2}$, and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$. Here, as illustration, we make explicit the simplest case $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ for which the factorization property is trivial. Further, we show briefly how the invariance properties allow one to find $\Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{4}$.

We start with $\Sigma_{1}^{03}=n_{01}+y_{3} n_{32}$, which from (3.8) can be written as a linear combination of the $n_{0 i}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Sigma_{1}^{03}=\Omega_{1}^{03}\left(n_{03}+n_{04} \bar{n}_{33} / \bar{n}_{34}-n_{02} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}-n_{01} \bar{n}_{33} / y_{3}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
\Omega_{1}^{03}=y_{3} \bar{n}_{34} /\left(y_{3}-\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the coefficient of $n_{04}$ is the product of those of $n_{01}$ and $n_{02}$, with (3.4) for $n_{04}$ we can rewrite

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{03}=\Omega_{1}^{03}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{3}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{4}\right), \\
A_{4}=y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}, \quad A_{3}=\bar{n}_{33} / y_{3} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

The coefficient $\Omega_{1}^{03}$ of $n_{03}^{2}$ is only $P, S$ dependent and the ratio of the two roots is $n_{01} / n_{02}$ multiplied by $A_{3} / A_{4}$, still a $P, S$ factor. For the other $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ this factorization structure is also trivial to establish (Section C.31); it becomes tedious [(C.32), (C.33)] for $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$.

We report briefly the main results established in Appendix C.3. The quadratic polynomials $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}$ are of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{k}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{k^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{k}, A_{k^{\prime}}$, and $\Omega_{i}$ functions of $P$ and $S$ and of the intermediate parameters $y_{3}, \bar{n}_{3 i}$, and $\mu$. For the transformations $1 \leftrightarrow 2$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ we consider the intermediate parameters as independent variables, although they are also $P, S$ dependent.
3.2.1. Exchange $1 \leftrightarrow 2$. For this transform we must change both $n_{01} \leftrightarrow n_{02}$ and $n_{j 1} \leftrightarrow n_{j 2}$. Then (3.11) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1} \rightarrow n_{03} \Sigma_{2}=\Omega_{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{k^{\prime}}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{k}\right) \\
\Omega_{2}=\Omega_{1}\left(n_{j 1} \leftrightarrow n_{j 2}\right), \quad \tilde{A}_{k}=A_{k}\left(n_{j 1} \leftrightarrow n_{j 2}\right), \quad \tilde{A}_{k^{\prime}}=\cdots
\end{gather*}
$$

Of course in this transformation, the factorization remains.
First, we consider $\Sigma_{1}^{2}$ with $\Omega_{1}^{2}$ and the roots proportional to $A_{1}, A_{2}$ [written down in Eq. (C.18) and in Table II]. From (3.5) the transform $n_{j 1} \leftrightarrow n_{j 2}$ is equivalent to $C_{j} \leftrightarrow E_{j}$ or $\mu \leftrightarrow-\mu$. Consequently, we find $\Omega_{2}^{2}=\Omega_{1}^{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)$ and $\tilde{A}_{i}=A_{i}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)$.

Second, for $\Sigma_{1}^{03}$ written down in (3.10) we exchange $n_{31} \leftrightarrow n_{32}$ or equivalently for the intermediate parameters $y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}$ and $\bar{n}_{3 i} / y_{3} \rightarrow \bar{n}_{3 i}$. Consequently, for $\Sigma_{2}^{03}$ we obtain $\Omega_{2}^{03}=\widetilde{\Omega}_{1}^{03}$ and the roots $\tilde{A}_{k}$.

Third, for $\Sigma_{1}^{3}$, with $\Omega_{1}^{3}$ and the roots $A_{5}$ and $A_{6}$ written down in Eq. (C.23) and in Table II for the change $\Sigma_{1}^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}^{3}$ we must perform $\mu \rightarrow-\mu, y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}$, and $\bar{n}_{3 i} / y_{3} \rightarrow \bar{n}_{3 i}$. As an illustration, let us start with the root $n_{01} A_{5}$ with $A_{5}=\left(\Omega_{1}^{03} / \bar{n}_{34}+A_{1} \Omega_{1}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{1}^{3}$, which becomes the root $n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}$
of $\Sigma_{2}^{3}$. In the transformation $\Omega_{1}^{3}=\Omega_{1}^{2}+\Omega_{1}^{03}$ becomes $\Omega_{2}^{3}=\Omega_{2}^{2}+\Omega_{2}^{03}$, $A_{2} \Omega_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{2} \Omega_{2}^{2}$, while $\Omega_{1}^{03} / \bar{n}_{34} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}^{03} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}$.
3.2.2. Exchange $3 \leftrightarrow 4$. We must change both $n_{03} \leftrightarrow n_{04}=$ $n_{01} n_{02} / n_{03}$ and $n_{j 3} \leftrightarrow n_{j 4}$. We define $(\cdot)^{T}=\left(n_{j 3} \leftrightarrow n_{j 4}\right)$ and start with (3.11) for $\Sigma_{3}$,
$n_{03} \Sigma_{3} \rightarrow n_{03} \Sigma_{4}=\left(\Omega_{3} A_{k} A_{k^{\prime}}\right)^{T}\left[n_{03}-n_{01} /\left(A_{k^{\prime}}\right)^{T}\right]\left[n_{03}-n_{02} /\left(A_{k}\right)^{T}\right]$
and we see that the factorization property holds for $\Sigma_{4}$ if it exists for $\Sigma_{3}$.
Table II. $\Sigma_{i}=\bar{\Sigma}_{i} \Omega_{i} / n_{03}$ for the Models of Section 3

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Sigma_{1}^{2} & =\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{1}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{2}\right) & \bar{\Sigma}_{2}^{2} & =\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1}\right) \\
\bar{\Sigma}_{3}^{2} & =\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{2}\right) & \bar{\Sigma}_{4}^{2}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{1}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1}\right) \\
\bar{\Sigma}_{1}^{03}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{3}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{4}\right) & \bar{\Sigma}_{2}^{03}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{3}\right) \\
\bar{\Sigma}_{3}^{03}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{3}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{3}\right) & \bar{\Sigma}_{4}^{03}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{4}\right) \\
\bar{\Sigma}_{1}^{3}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{5}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{6}\right) & \bar{\Sigma}_{2}^{3}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}\right) \\
\bar{\Sigma}_{3}^{3}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{5}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}\right) & \bar{\Sigma}_{4}^{3}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{6}\right)
\end{array}
$$

General case $\Sigma_{i}^{2}: A_{1}=-\lambda_{11} / \lambda_{31}, A_{2}=-\lambda_{21} / \lambda_{31}$
$\tilde{A}_{k}=A_{k}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu), \lambda_{11}=4 P(1+P-S) / S$
$\lambda_{21}=2\left(4 P-S^{2}\right) E_{1} E_{2} / C_{1} C_{2}$
$\lambda_{31}=[\mu(P+1-S)+P+S+1](S-2 P)+4 P(P-1)$
$C_{1} C_{2}=E_{1} E_{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)$
$E_{1} E_{2}=2(1+P+S)[S(P+1)-4 P] / S(1+P-S)-2 \mu(P-1)$
$\bar{\Omega}_{i}^{2}=\Omega_{i}^{2}\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)(1+P-S)^{2} / 2, \bar{\Omega}_{1}^{2}=\lambda_{31}$
$\Omega_{2}^{2}=\Omega_{1}^{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu), \bar{\Omega}_{3}^{2}=-\lambda_{11}, \bar{\Omega}_{4}^{2}=2 P\left(S^{2}-4 P\right)$
$\Sigma_{i}^{03}: y_{3} A_{3}=\bar{n}_{33}=\tilde{A}_{3}, A_{4} / y_{3}=1 / \bar{n}_{34}=\tilde{A}_{4}$
$\Omega_{2}^{03}=\bar{n}_{34} /\left(y_{3}-\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\right)=\Omega_{1}^{03} / y_{3}=\Omega_{4}^{03} / \bar{n}_{34}=\bar{n}_{34} \Omega_{3}^{03} / y_{3}$
$\Sigma_{i}^{3}: A_{5}=\left(\Omega_{3}^{03}+A_{1} \Omega_{1}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{1}^{3}, A_{6}=\left(\Omega_{3}^{03} y_{3}+A_{2} \Omega_{1}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{1}^{3}$
$\tilde{A}_{5}=\left(\Omega_{3}^{03}+\tilde{A}_{1} \Omega_{2}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{2}^{3}, \tilde{A}_{6}=\left(\Omega_{4}^{03}+\tilde{A}_{2} \Omega_{2}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{2}^{3}$
$\Omega_{i}^{3}=\Omega_{i}^{2}+\Omega_{i}^{03}(i=1,2,4), \Omega_{3}^{3}=\bar{n}_{33} \Omega_{2}^{03}+\Omega_{3}^{2}$
$\mu^{2}=(1+P+S)^{2} /(1+P-S)^{2}-8 P(1+P+S) / S(1+P-S)^{2}$
Particular case $S=-2(P+1), \mu=(1-P) / 3(1+P)$
$\Sigma_{i}^{2}: A_{1}=1 / 2 P, A_{2}=2 / P, \tilde{A}_{2}=2 / Q=1 / \tilde{A}_{1}$
$\Omega_{1}^{2}=6 P^{2} /(P+2)(2 P+1)=2 P \Omega_{3}^{2}$
$\Omega_{2}^{2}=2\left(1+P+P^{2}\right) /(P+2)(2 P+1)=\Omega_{4}^{2} / 2 P$
$\Sigma_{i}^{03}:$ idem
$\Sigma_{i}^{3}: A_{5}=\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}-2 y_{3}\right) /\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right)\left(P Q-y_{3}\right)$
$A_{6}=\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right) /\left(P Q-y_{3}\right), \tilde{A}_{6}=\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right) / Q\left(y_{3}-P Q\right), \tilde{A}_{5}=A_{5} A_{6} / \tilde{A}_{6}$
$\bar{\Omega}_{i}^{3}=\Omega_{i}^{3}(P+2)(2 P+1)\left(Q^{2}+Q y_{3}+y_{3}^{2}\right) / 3 P\left(Q P-y_{3}\right)$
$\bar{\Omega}_{1}^{3}=2 Q+y_{3}, \bar{\Omega}_{2}^{3}=-2 y_{3}-Q$
$\Omega_{i}^{3}, i=3,4:$ idem

First, for $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ we have $\bar{n}_{33} \leftrightarrow \bar{n}_{34}$; the roots $n_{01} A_{3}$ and $n_{02} \tilde{A}_{3}$ become the roots $n_{02} /\left(A_{3}\right)^{T}=n_{02} A_{4}$ and $n_{01} /\left(\tilde{A}_{3}\right)^{T}=n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}$ of $\Sigma_{4}^{03}$ [see (C.11)]. Similarly, $\left(\Omega_{3}^{03} A_{3} A_{4}\right)^{T}=\Omega_{3}^{03} \bar{n}_{34}^{2} / \bar{n}_{33}=\Omega_{4}^{03}$.

Second, for $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$ we have $P \rightarrow 1 / P, S \rightarrow S / P$, and $\bar{n}_{j 1}=n_{j 1} / n_{j 3} \rightarrow n_{j 1} / n_{j 4}=$ $\bar{n}_{j 1} / z_{j}$ or, from (3.5), $z_{j} \rightarrow 1 / z_{j}, \mu \rightarrow-\mu$.

Consequently, for $\Sigma_{3}^{2} \rightarrow \Sigma_{4}^{2}$ in (3.11") the transform $(\cdot)^{T}$ is $(P \rightarrow 1 / P$, $S \rightarrow S / P, \underset{\sim}{\mu} \rightarrow-\mu)$, leading to $1 /\left(A_{2}\right)^{T}=A_{1}$ and $1 /\left(\tilde{A}_{2}\right)^{T}=\tilde{A}_{1}$ and the two roots $n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}$ and $n_{02} A_{2}$ of $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$ become the roots $n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1}$ and $n_{01} A_{1}$ of $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$. Finally, the coefficient $\Omega_{3}^{2}$ of $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$ is transformed into $\left(\Omega_{3}^{2} A_{2} \tilde{A}_{2}\right)^{T}=$ $\Omega_{3}^{2} / A_{1} \tilde{A}_{1}=\Omega_{4}^{2}$ for $\Sigma_{4}^{2}$.

Third, for the transform $\Sigma_{3}^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma_{4}^{3}$ we must consider ( $\bar{n}_{33} \leftrightarrow \bar{n}_{34}$, $P \rightarrow 1 / P, S \rightarrow S / P, \mu \rightarrow-\mu$ ). Similarly as above (with tedious calculations), one can show that the two roots $n_{01} A_{5}$ and $n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}$ of $\Sigma_{3}^{3}$ become the two roots $n_{02} /\left(A_{5}\right)^{T}=n_{02} A_{6}$ and $n_{01} /\left(\tilde{A}_{5}\right)^{T}=n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}$ of $\Sigma_{4}^{3}$.

In conclusion, for the $12 \Sigma_{i}$ we only have 12 roots: $n_{01} A_{2 k+1}, n_{02} A_{2 k}$, $n_{02} \tilde{A}_{2 k+1}, n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2 k}, k=0,1,2$; six of them are obtained by transformations of the other six.

We restrict the study to a simplified case: $S=-2(P+1)$ and $\mu=(1-P) / 3(1+P)$ for the square-root determination of $\mu^{2}$.

### 3.3. Sufficient Conditions for $\Sigma_{i}>0$ and $S=\mathbf{- 2 ( P + 1 )}$. $3 \mu=(1-P) /(1+P)$ (Appendix C.4)

The analytic expressions of the $12 \Omega_{i}$ and of the 12 roots are written down in Table II as functions of $P$ and of the intermediate parameters and $\mu$, which are in fact also only $P$ dependent. Further, in order to be able to discuss analytically the determination of the cubic $y_{3}$ equation, it is convenient to introduce another parameter $Q$ which is also $P$ dependent:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =3 P /\left(1+P+P^{2}\right), \quad y_{3}^{3}+Q^{2}+y_{3}\left(y_{3}+Q\right)(4+Q)=0 \\
3 P \bar{n}_{33} & =Q(2 P+1)+y_{3}(P+2), \quad 3 \bar{n}_{34}=Q(P+2)+y_{3}(2 P+1) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We choose the following determinations of $P, Q$, and $y_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<P<1, \quad 0<Q<1, \quad-1<y_{3}<0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interest of the introduction of the parameter $Q(P)$ is that we can replace the cubic $y_{3}$ equation (not convenient for an analytic discussion of its solution as function of a parameter) by two quadratic ones $P(Q)$ and $Q\left(y_{3}\right)$ in (3.12), choosing the square-root determinations compatible with (3.13):

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
P \beta=1-\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, & \beta=2 Q /(3-Q) \\
Q \alpha=-2 y_{3}\left[1-(1-\alpha)^{1 / 2}\right], & \alpha=4\left(1+y_{3}\right) /\left(4+y_{3}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{array}
$$

For the positivity study we will have to compare the different roots proportional to $A_{k}, \tilde{A}_{k}$. Then the representations (3.14) give useful information about the signs of algebraic expressions involving the intermediate parameters, $P$ and $Q$, while $z_{j}=z_{ \pm}=-P-1 \pm\left(1+P+P^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Starting with (3.13) for $Q, P, y_{3}$, and $n_{0 i}>0, i=1,2,3$, we find $n_{04}>0$ and two subdomains of (3.2) in which the $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive. All $\Omega_{i}^{2}, \Omega_{i}^{3}, A_{k}$, $\tilde{A}_{k}, k=1,2,5,6$, are positive, so it follows that $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$ are positive for $n_{03}$ outside the eight intervals constitued by the roots. We can choose either $n_{03}$ smaller than the smallest root or larger than the largest one. Further, the roots proportional to $n_{01}$ or $n_{02}$ can be ordered if the ratio $n_{01} / n_{02}$ has a $P$-dependent lower or upper bound. For $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ the two roots proportional to $A_{3}, \tilde{A}_{4}$, and $\Omega_{i}^{03}, i=1,3$, are positive, while $\tilde{A}_{3}, A_{4}$, and $\Omega_{i}^{03}, i=2,4$, are negative. Only for $A_{3}<n_{03} / n_{01}<\tilde{A}_{4}$ can the positivity be satisfied. Choosing for $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$ the $n_{03}$ interval smaller (larger) than the smallest (largest) root, then this root must be larger (smaller) than $n_{01} A_{3}\left(n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}\right)$. An analytic positivity proof requires a great deal of algebraic calculation. We must order the $A_{k}, \widetilde{A}_{k}$ ( 20 lemmas) and intermediate results are found: $\bar{n}_{33}<0, \bar{n}_{34}>0, \bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}-y_{3}>0, y_{3}+Q>0,2 y_{3}+Q<0 \ldots$. We have proved the following theorem ${ }^{(3)}$ for $n_{03}$ smaller (larger) than the $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, \Sigma_{i}^{3}$ roots.

Theorem 2. Sufficient conditions for all $\Sigma_{i}>0$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0<P<1 \rightarrow\left(-1<y_{3}<0\right), & 0<n_{01} / n_{02}<P Q, & A_{3}<n_{03} / n_{01}<\tilde{A}_{6} \\
A_{3}=\bar{n}_{33} / y_{3}, & \tilde{A}_{6}=\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right) / Q\left(y_{3}-P Q\right), & Q\left(1+P+P^{2}\right)=3 P
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 3. Sufficient conditions for all $\Sigma_{i}>0$ are

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<P<1, \quad\left(-1<y_{3}<0\right), \quad n_{01} / n_{02}>Q / P, \quad \sup \left(\tilde{A}_{2}, A_{5}\right)<n_{03} / n_{01}<\tilde{A}_{4} \\
\tilde{A}_{2}=2 / Q, \quad A_{5}=\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}-2 y_{3}\right) /\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right)\left(Q P-y_{3}\right), \quad A_{4}=1 / \bar{n}_{34}
\end{gathered}
$$

It is shown in Appendix C. 4 that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$, having two positive roots, remains positive for $n_{03}$ outside the interval constituted by these roots. This is the case for the $n_{03}$ intervals of Theorems 2 and 3. These theorems lead to positive $\Sigma_{i}$ and $N_{i}$.

In Section 4 we discuss a numerical example of Theorem 2, while here we present the $N_{i}$ parameers and $A_{k}, \widetilde{A}_{k}$, and $\Sigma_{i}$ numerical values for an example of Theorem 3.

First, we start with $P=3 / 5$, leading to $S=-3.2, z_{+}=-0.2, z_{-}=-3$; $\underset{\sim}{Q}=45 / 49, \quad y_{3}=-0.88, \quad \bar{n}_{33}=-1.5, \quad \bar{n}_{34}=0.15, \quad \mu=1 / 12 ; \quad A_{1}=5 / 6$, $\tilde{A}_{1}=45 / 98, \quad A_{2}=10 / 3, \quad \tilde{A}_{2}=98 / 45, \quad A_{3}=0.17, \quad A_{4}=-5.9, \quad \tilde{A}_{3}=-0.15$, $\tilde{A}_{4}=6.71, \quad A_{5}=2.53, \quad A_{6}=0.666, \quad \tilde{A}_{5}=2.62, \quad \tilde{A}_{6}=0.643 ; \quad \Omega_{i}^{3}=0.5, \quad 0.5$, $0.3, \quad 0.8, \quad \Omega_{i}^{03}=1.5, \quad-0.17, \quad 1, \quad-0.02, \quad i=1,2,3,4 ; \quad n_{01} / n_{02}>75 / 49$, $2.53<n_{03} / n_{01}>6.71$.

Second, we choose $n_{02}=1, n_{01}=2.224$, and find $5.62<n_{03}<14.9$.
Third, we choose $n_{03}=8.73$ and find $n_{04}=0.25, n_{03, z_{+}}=1.23$, $n_{03, z-}=3.7 ; n_{j 1}=-3.84,3.22,-0.08, n_{j 2}=-2.97,4.5,0.09, n_{j 3}=-6.72$, $-1.25,-0.014, n_{j 4}=1.34,3.75,0.014, \tau_{j}=-9.1,-10.2,0.54, \gamma_{j}=-0.76$, $-0.85,-0.08, \rho_{j}=6.1,-5.1,-0.054, j=1,2,3 ; \Sigma_{i}^{2}=1.6,2.5,0.7,5.3$, $\Sigma_{i}^{03}=2.1,1.1,8.7,0.27, \Sigma_{i}^{3}=1.5,2.6,0.74,5.4, i=1,2,3,4$.

## 4. PHYSICAL DISCUSSION

We consider the square-velocity model (the discussion is similar for the cubic one) with the total mass $M=\sum_{1}^{4} N_{i}$ rewritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
M=m_{0}+\sum_{1}^{3} m_{j} / D_{j}, \quad m_{0}=\sum_{1}^{4} n_{0 i}, \quad m_{j}=\sum_{1}^{4} m_{j i} \\
D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} \bar{y}+\rho_{j} t\right), \quad j=1,2  \tag{4.1}\\
D_{3}=1+d_{3} \exp \left(\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x+\rho_{3} t\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We have introduced a new coordinate $\bar{y}=y+\mu x$ for the models of Section 3, while for the spatial coordinates in $D_{3}$ we put $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3} x=\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x$ $\left(\bar{\gamma}_{3}=\gamma_{3}-\mu \tau_{3}\right)$. We discuss the solutions with $\bar{y}$ and $x$ as spatial coordinates. For the model of Section 2, $\mu=0, \bar{y}=y, \bar{\gamma}_{3}=\gamma_{3}$.

The previous positivity conditions $\Sigma_{i}>0$ for $N_{i}$ become for $M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}>0, \quad \Sigma^{2}=\sum_{0}^{2} m_{i}>0, \quad \Sigma^{03}=m_{0}+m_{3}>0, \quad \Sigma^{3}=\sum_{0}^{3} m_{i}>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$. We notice that (4.2) satisfied alone are insufficient conditions for $\Sigma_{i}>0$.

### 4.1. Some General Results

We first discuss the equidensity lines $M=$ const at $t_{0}=0$ and next the movement of the shock front and the relaxation toward equilibrium.
4.1.1. Equidensity Lines $\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{x}, \bar{y}, \boldsymbol{t}=0)=$ const. We look, in the $x, \bar{y}$ plane, at the asymptotic domains associated with the limiting $M$ values. Depending upon whether $\tau_{1} \bar{y}$ (we recall $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ ) and $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x$ are positive or negative, we find the four asymptotic shock limits of (4.2): (i) $\tau_{1} \bar{y}>0, \tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x>0, M \rightarrow m_{0}$, (ii) $\tau_{1} \bar{y}>0, \tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x<0, M \rightarrow \Sigma^{03}$, (iii) $\tau_{1} \bar{y}<0, \tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x>0, M \rightarrow \Sigma^{2}$, (iv) $\tau_{1} \bar{y}<0, \tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x<0, M \rightarrow \Sigma^{3}$. They define domains limited by equidensity lines parallel to $y=0$ and $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=0$. There exist four different possibilities (see Fig. 1a),


Fig. 1. (a) Different locations of the shock plateaus. (b) The shock front decreases continuously. (c) The shock front has a bump.
depending upon whether the positive $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x$ axis is in the first, second, third, or fourth quadrant of the $x, \bar{y}$ plane. In the case of one spatial coordinate, we only have two shock limits: one in the upstream domain and the other in the downstream domain. Here we can have, for instance, two asymptotic shock plateaus in the upstream domain and two others in the downstream domain. The definitions (4.2) are insufficient to order these limits and determine which ones are in the upstream or in the downstream domain.

We consider a shock in a strip parallel to the $x$ axis and look at the possible ways for the equidensity lines to link the asymptotic plateaus of both up- and downstream domains. We will say that the upstream domain contains the two highest plateaus, while the downstream domain contains the two lowest. We are interested in the possibility that the domain around the shock has bumps higher than the highest asymptotic plateau. If $m_{i}>0$ for all $i$, then $\operatorname{Sup} M$ in the whole $\bar{y}, x$ plane is the highest plateau $\Sigma^{3}$. If some $m_{i}$ is negative, then the arbitrary constants $d_{j}$ in $D_{j}$ [not present in (4.2)] are important. Among the possible scenarios, we choose two, which will be illustrated numerically later. We choose two opposite situations, with such bumps never or always present.

For the first scenario we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}+m_{2}>m_{3}>0 \rightarrow m_{0}<\Sigma^{03}<\Sigma^{2}<\Sigma^{3} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x>0$ axis in the third $x, \bar{y}$ quadrant. We choose the $d_{j}$ such that in the upstream the lowest plateau $\Sigma^{2}$ surrounds entirely the highest one $\Sigma^{3}$. In Fig. 1b we represent the path for decreasing equidensity lines. Two profiles at $x=x_{0}$ fixed (negative and positive) show that the shock front decreases continuously from one upstream plateau to another downstream one. No bump is present. However, (4.3) is compatible with opposite signs for $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$, for instance, $m_{1}>0, m_{2}<0$. Choosing $d_{2}$ large and $d_{1}$ small, we can obtain equidensity lines with $M$ larger than $\Sigma^{3}$, so that bumps can appear. For instance, we can change the initial time $t_{0}=0$ to $t_{0} \neq 0$ and substitute $d_{2} \exp \left(t_{0} \rho_{2}\right)$ instead of $d_{2}$ (this possibility will be illustrated later in Fig. 2).

For the second scenario we assume in Fig. 1c

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}>0, \quad m_{3}<0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{03}<m_{0}<\Sigma^{3}<\Sigma^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x>0$ axis in the fourth quadrant and the $d_{j}$ are chosen such that in the upstream domain the lowest plateau is an hollow entirely surrounded by the highest one. It is an isolated basin from which, following decreasing equidensity lines, we cannot go directly to the shock front. Further, there is no path connecting directly up- and downstreams plateaus
through the shock front. In a strip parallel to the $x$ axis, including the shock, $\sup M(x, \bar{y})$ for $x$ fixed and $\bar{y}$ varying is larger than the highest plateau $\Sigma^{2}$. A bump is always present, close to the shock front with equidensity lines higher than $\Sigma^{2}$, as is illustrated with two profiles at $x_{0}$ fixed positive and negative. Other scenarios are possible; for instance, $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ can be of opposite sign in (4.4) $\left(m_{1}+m_{2}>0\right)$ and we can choose $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ such that in some intervals, sup $M$ for $x$ fixed is lower than $\Sigma^{2}$.
4.1.2. Movement of the Shock Front and Relaxation toward Equilibrium. With the initial time $t_{0}$ arbitrary and without significance, we are interested in large $t$ values and finite spatial coordinates $x, \bar{y}$ values. Among different possibilities, let us choose $\rho_{3}>0$ and $\rho_{i}>0$ for one of the two $i=1,2$ values, while $\rho_{j}<0$ for the other $j \neq i$. In a first crude approximation for large time we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \simeq m_{0}+m_{j} /\left[1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} \bar{y}-\left|\rho_{j}\right| t\right)\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shock front for large time has moved from $\bar{y} \simeq 0$ to $\bar{y} \simeq t\left|\rho_{j}\right| / \tau_{j}$. There remain practically two asymptotic plateaus $m_{0}, m_{0}+m_{j}$, the last one becoming the Maxwellian equilibrium state. We remark that $m_{0}+m_{j}>0$ is not a consequence of the positivity conditions (4.2) at $t=0$. With the Boltzmann equation carrying through the positivity, this means that for the present situations (see examples in Figs. 2 and 3), necessarily $m_{0}+m_{j}>0$.

These results represent the dominant effects, but less important ones occur. First, what happens for the equidensity lines $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=$ const (present in the asymptotic plateaus)? From $D_{3}$ we see that they are translated to $-\rho_{3} t$. From the different signs of the $\rho_{i}$, we see that the plateaus $\Sigma^{3}, \Sigma^{2}, \Sigma^{03}$ move toward the equilibrium state $m_{0}+m_{j}$ and $m_{0}$. At intermediate times the $i$ th component, proportional to $m_{i}$, gives a contribution to the shock front for movement toward $\bar{y}=-t \rho_{i} / \tau_{1}$ in a direction opposite to the dominant $j$ th component, proportional to $m_{j}$.

### 4.2. An Explicit Example with $\boldsymbol{a} \neq 1$

We discuss an example of the formalism of Section 2 with $D_{j}=1+d_{j}$ $\exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\rho_{j} t\right), j=1,2$, or $\bar{y}=y, \bar{\gamma}_{3}=\gamma_{3}$. We choose arbitrary parameters satisfying Theorem 1: We start with $P=0.5, S=-15, n_{01}=10^{-3}, n_{02}=1$, $n_{03}=6.7 \times 10^{-3}$, leading to $a=19.7 \times 10^{-3}, z_{1}=-33 \times 10^{-3}, z_{2}=-14.9$, $y_{3}=-1.8, n_{04}=7.5 ; \tau_{j}=1.22,1.22,-0.054, \gamma_{j}=0,0,-0.54, \rho_{j}=1.07$, $-1.14,0.16, n_{j 1}=-1,1,0.74, n_{j 2}=-1,1,-0.4, n_{j 3}=0.47,14.5,2.6$, $n_{j 4}=-7,-4.85,1.3, j=1,2,3$. We notice that the sound speed of the two first components $y+c_{j} t$ and of the third one $x+y \tau_{3} / \gamma_{3}+c_{3} t$ are such that $\left|c_{i}\right|<1$. For the total mass $M$ we deduce $m_{0}=8.5, m_{1}=-8.55, m_{2}=16.04$,
$m_{3}=4.19 ; \Sigma^{3}=20, \Sigma^{2}=16, \Sigma^{03}=12.7$. For the arbitrary $d_{j}$ parameters we choose $d_{1}=d_{2}=10, d_{3}=10^{-2}$.
4.2.1. Equidensity Lines $M=$ const at $\boldsymbol{t}=0$ (Fig. 2a). These correspond to the scenario of Fig. 1 b with a shock in a strip around the $x$ axis. Decreasing equidensity lines connect he asymptotic plateaus $20 \rightarrow 16$, and then they cross the shock front and spread out in the downstream toward 12.7 and finally 8.5 . The profiles perpendicular to the $x$ axis decrease continuously from the upstream toward the downstream domain. We observe the equidensity lines parallel to $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3} x=0$.

### 4.2.2. Shock and Equidensity Lines Moving with $t$,

 Equilibrium State (Fig. 2b-2e). For large $t$ and finite $x, y$ only the second component remains: $M \simeq 8.5+16 /\left[1+d_{2} \exp (y-t)\right]$; the shock is shifted from $y=0$ to $y=t$, relaxing toward the equilibrium state $m_{0}+m_{2} \simeq 24.5$, while for $y-t$ positive and large, $M \simeq m_{0}=8.5$. From the expression of $D_{3}$ we observe that the equidensity lines parallel to $\gamma_{3} x+\tau_{3} y=$ const are translated to const $-0.16 t$. Consequently, both plateaus 20 and 12.7 join the others, 16 and 8.5 .Looking at the $d_{j}$ values for $t=t_{0}$ large but fixed, we observe that $d_{1} \exp \left(t_{0}\right)$ is large compared to $d_{2} \exp \left(-t_{0}\right), d_{3} \exp \left(0.16 t_{0}\right)$. Consequently, the negative term, proportional to $m_{1}$ becomes less important and we can observe a bump higher than 20 or even higher that the equilibrium value 24.5. This means that in the space, populations of particles larger than at the initial time or at infinite time can appear.

Figures 2 b and 2 c present results for $N_{i}$ and $M$ for a small $y$ interval around the shock, along the lines $x=10$ and $x=-y-10$. We observe both the displacement of the shock front and, at intermediate time, the presence of a bump larger than the equilibrium state. A plot of $M$ for some $x, y$ fixed and $t$ varying emphasizes the presence of this bump at intermediate times. We also notice the property, sometimes overlooked, that the positivity of the macroscopic total mass $M$ is not sufficient to ensure the positivity of the $N_{i}$. For a small negative time $t=-1.25$, both $N_{i}$ and $M$ remain positive, but, for instance, for $t=-2, M$ is still positive, while $N_{2}$ becomes negative around $y=0$.

In order to follow the displacement of the asymptotic plateaus, Fig. 2d-2f show results for $M$ with a large $y$ interval. We choose the constant line $x=10$ and the two others $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3}(x \pm 10)=0$, which are parallel to one of the two directions of the asymptotic plateaus. In Fig. 2d for $t=20$ we observe both that the central plateau with hedge 20 becomes thinner with an enhancement at 28 and of course the moving of the shock. The compression of the central plateau can explain physically the appearance of the bump, while mathematically, as we have seen this is due to

(a)

Fig. 2. (a) The $M$ equidensity lines at $t=0$ decrease continuously from the highest plateau to the smallest one. Lines parallel to $x=0$ and to $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3} x=0\left(a=19.7 \times 10^{-3}\right)$. (b) A bump appears at intermediate times. Movement of the shock front ( $x=10, a=19.7 \times 10^{-3}$ ). (c) At $t=-2, M$ is still positive, but $N_{2}$ is negative $(x+y+10=0)$. (d) All the asymptotic plateaus as well as the bump and the equilibrium state are present. The bump appears and disappears ( $a=19.7 \times 10^{-3}, x=0$ ). (e, f) The bump is present. Pictures similar to a shock with one special coordinate. (e) $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3}(x+10)=0$, (f) $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3}(x-10)=0$.



$d_{1} \rightarrow d_{1} \exp \left(20 \rho_{1}\right)$. At $t=50$ the bump has disappeared and we observe the formation of the Maxwellian plateau 24.5. At $t=90$, we see four asymptotic plateaus; the smallest, 8.5, appears due to the displacement of the $\tau_{3} y+\gamma_{3} x=$ const line (discussion above). In Figs. 2 e and 2 f the two lines are parallel to $\gamma_{3} x+\rho_{3} t=$ const, so that, as in a one-dimensional shock, we observe only two asymptotic shock limits. However, here both constant limits vary with $t$. We notice that the bump appears on both lines; however, on one line it is higher than the Maxwellian, but not on the other.

### 4.3. An Explicit Example with $a=1$

We discuss an explicit example for the formalism of Section 3 for which the $D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\gamma_{j} x+\rho_{j} t\right)$ are rewritten:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} \bar{y}+\rho_{j} t\right), \quad j=1,2 \\
& D_{3}=1+d_{3} \exp \left(\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x+\rho_{3} t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\bar{\gamma}_{3}=\gamma_{3}-\mu \tau_{3}$ and $\bar{y}=y+\mu x$ as a new spatial coordinate. We discuss an example with the arbitrary parameters satisfying Theorem 2.

We start with $a=1, \quad P=0.1, \quad S=-2.2, \quad n_{01}=10^{-9}, \quad n_{02}=1$, $n_{03}=1.23 \times 10^{-9}$, leading to $\mu=9 / 33, \quad Q=10 / 37, \quad z_{1}=-4.64 \times 10^{-2}$, $z_{2}=-2.15, y_{3}=-0.187, n_{04}=0.56 ; \tau_{j}=4.72,1.97,-0.393, \gamma_{j}=\mu \tau_{1}, \mu \tau_{2}$, $0.19, \rho_{j}=-4.3,0.72,0.13, n_{j 1}=1.02,-0.17,0.07, n_{j 2}=1.02,-0.17,-0.37$, $n_{j 3}=7.37,0.08,0.85, n_{j 4}=-0.34,-0.17,-0.042, j=1,2,3$. We notice that the sound speed of the first two components $y+\mu x+c_{j} t$ and that of the third one $x+\tau_{3} / \gamma_{3} y+c_{3} t$ are such that $\left|c_{i}\right|<1$. For the total mass $M$ we deduce $\quad m_{0}=1.558, \quad m_{1}=8.6, \quad m_{2}=-1.44, \quad m_{3}=-0.26, \quad \Sigma^{2}=8.717$, $\Sigma^{03}=1.297, \Sigma^{3}=8.456$, while for arbitrary $d_{j}$ we choose $d_{1}=d_{2}=10^{3}$, $d_{3}=10^{-2}$.
4.3.1. Equidensity Lines $M(\bar{y}, x, t=0)=$ const (Fig. 3a). These correspond to the scenario presented in Fig. 1c with a shock in a strip near the $x$ axis. For the present choice of the $d_{j}$, inside the shock domain, $\sup M$ for $x$ fixed and $\bar{y}$ varying occurs at $\bar{y} \simeq-2.5$ and varies slowly from 9.66 at $x \rightarrow-\infty$ to 9.92 when $x \rightarrow \infty$. The ridge stays practically always at 9.66 for $x<0$, rising slowly and continuously when $x>0$. All along the shock front a bump exists which isolates both the basin $\Sigma^{3}$ and the highest upstream asymptotic plateau $\Sigma^{2}$. The profiles perpendicular to the $x$ axis exhibit this bump.

In order to test the importance of the $d_{i}$ in the shock front we seek the largest and the smallest possible bumps. Due to $m_{1}>0, m_{2}<0$, the most important one is obtained with $d_{2}$ large and $d_{1}$ small. For $d_{3}=10^{6}$,
$d_{1}=d_{2}=10^{-5}$ we find that sup $M$ in the shock-strip lies between 9.9 and 10.16 (for any $d_{j}$ values the difference is equal to $m_{3}$ ). On the contrary for $d_{2}=d_{3}=10^{-4}$ and $d_{1}=10^{4}$, we find a sup $M$ in the strip between $\Sigma^{3}+\varepsilon$, $\Sigma^{2}+\varepsilon \varepsilon \simeq 10^{-5}$ with values close to the asymptotic upstream plateaus. In that equidensity lines can cross the shock domain and the bump practically disappears.

### 4.3.2. Shock and Equidensity Lines Moving with t,

 Equilibrium State (Fig. 3b-3d). Due to $\rho_{2}>0, \rho_{3}>0, \rho_{1}<0$, for large $t$ and finite $x, y$ only the first component remains: $M \simeq 1.6+8.6 /$ $\left\{1+d_{1} \exp [4.7(\bar{y}-t]\}\right.$. The shock is moving from $\bar{y}=0$ to $\bar{y}=t$; the equilibrium state $(t \rightarrow \infty)$ has the value $m_{0}+m_{1}=9.02$, while when $\bar{y}-t$ is large and positive we must observe the plateau 16. These are the dominant effects. However, for not too large time, the second component $\sim-1.45 /\left\{1+d_{2} \exp [0.7(2 \bar{y}+t)]\right\}$ moves in the opposite direction. The third component determines the displacement of the lines parallel to $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=$ const, which are translated to const $-1.3 t$. In both upstream and downstream domains we must observe the displacement of the plateaus $\Sigma^{3} \rightarrow \Sigma^{2}$ and $m_{0}+m_{3} \rightarrow m_{0}$. Finally, we notice that the change

Fig. 3. (a) The $M$ equidensity lines at $t=0$. A bump is present in the shock domain. Lines parallel to $x=0$ and to $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=0(a=1)$. (b, c) Movement of the shock. (b) For $\bar{y}=0$, the curves rise continuously when $t$ is growing. (c) For $x=0$ and $|\bar{y}| \rightarrow \infty$ we recover the $t=0$ shock limits. (d) $M$ for $\tau_{3}(\bar{y}-10)+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=0$. Bump at $t=0$, movement of the shock; asymptotic $\Sigma^{3}$ and $\Sigma^{03}$ limits replaced by $\Sigma^{2}$ and $m_{0}(a=1)$.



Fig. 3 (continued)
$d_{j} \rightarrow d_{j} \exp \left(\rho_{j} t_{0}\right)$ gives, for finite $x, \bar{y}$ values, a larger contribution for the positive first component $m_{1} D_{1}^{-1}$ and a smaller one for the oher negative, components.

Figures 3 b and 3 c present both $N_{i}$ and $M$ relaxation curves for $x, \bar{y}$ along two lines. The first one, $\bar{y}=0$, at the bottom of the shock, is parallel to the shock front, while the other, $x=0$, is perpendicular to it. Along $\bar{y}=0$ we observe, when $t$ is growing, a continuous rising of the curves up to equilibrium. The difference between the two $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ limits, which is equal to $m_{3}=0$ at $t=0$, falls progressively and disappears at equilibrium. Notice that these limits are $t$ dependent, so that for $t$ fixed we never recover the $t=0$ limits. Such a situation cannot arise in one spatial dimension. Along the profile $x=0$, perpendicular to the shock, we observe the moving of the shock, the small bump at the top of the shock front, and the spreading of the equilibrium state. Contrary to the previous case, for $t$ fixed and $|\bar{y}|$ sufficiently large, we recover the asymptotic liits of the initial time.

Figure 3 d presents a curve along a line $\tau_{3}(\bar{y}-10)+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=0$ for a large $x$ (or $\bar{y}$ ) interval, parallel to one direction of the asymptotic plateaus. We observe the bump at $t=0$, the moving of the shock, and the appearance of the equilibrium state. The two asymptotic $|\bar{y}| \rightarrow \infty$ limits $\Sigma^{3}$ and $m_{0}+m_{3}$ at $t=0$ are progressively replaced by $\Sigma^{2}$ and $m_{0}$. This is explained by the displacement $-\rho_{3} t<0$ of the equidensity lines $\tau_{3} \bar{y}+\bar{\gamma}_{3} x=$ const toward the $x<0$ half-plane.

## 5. CONCLUSION

From the present work we know that positive $(2+1)$-dimensional shock waves exist for two discrete Boltzmann models. For the analytical positivity proof we were obliged to understand the mathematical structure of the asymptotic shock limits, which are physically relevant quantities. As a consequence of the laborious analytical calculation of Appendix C, we can now construct numerically positive shock waves for which the positivity has not been analytically proved. For the models of Section 3, giving up the restriction $S=-2(P+1)$ (leading to Theorems 2 and 3 ), we have constructed positive solutions. ${ }^{(9)}$

Taking advantage of the analytical results presented here, I am currently investigating two other classes of solutions: semiperiodic ones with the first two components complex conjugate, and solutions with six asymptotic shock limits.

## APPENDIX A. SUFFICIENT ASYMPTOTIC POSITIVITY CONDITIONS

Theorem. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=m_{0}+\sum_{1}^{3} m_{j} D_{j}^{-1}, \quad D_{j}=1+d_{j} e^{\tau_{j} y}, \\
d_{j}>0, \quad y \text { real }, \quad j=1,2, \quad 0<D_{3}^{-1}<1
\end{gathered}
$$

If one of the two conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0, \quad m_{0}>0, \quad m_{0}+m_{3}>0, \sum_{0}^{2} m_{j}>0, \quad \sum_{0}^{3} m_{j}>0  \tag{A.1}\\
& \tau_{1} \tau_{2}<0, \quad m_{0}+m_{j}+m_{3}>0, \quad m_{0}+m_{j}>0, \quad j=1,2 \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

is satisfied, then $M>0$ provided that the $d_{j}$ satisfy sufficient conditions.
We remark that if $m_{3}>0$ (or $<0$ ), then $M>m_{0}$ (or $m_{0}+m_{3}$ ) $+\sum_{1}^{2} m_{j} D_{j}^{-1}$, we must prove the following lemma.

Lemma. If $P=p_{0}+\sum_{1}^{2} p_{j} D_{j}^{-1}$ and if one of the two conditions

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0, \quad p_{0}>0, \quad p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}>0
$$

or

$$
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}<0, \quad p_{0}+p_{j}>0, \quad j=1,2
$$

is satisfied, then $P>0$ provided that the $d_{j}$ satisfy sufficient conditions.
(i) Case $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ : If $p_{j}>0$ (or $p_{j}<0$ ), $j=1,2$, then $P>p_{0}$ (or $\left.p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right) u$ positive. It remains $p_{2}<0$, and we choose $p_{1}<0, p_{2}>0$, and assume $\tau_{j}>0$. We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P=\left[p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}+\left(p_{0}+p_{2}\right) u_{1}+\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right) u_{2}+p_{0} u_{1} u_{2}\right] / D_{1} D_{2} \\
u_{j}=d_{j} e^{\tau_{j} y} \tag{A.3}
\end{array}
$$

Only $p_{0}+p_{1}$ can be negative. If so, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{2}\left(p_{0} u_{1}+p_{1}\right)>0 \quad \text { if } \quad d_{1}>\left|p_{1} / p_{0}\right| e^{\tau_{1} y_{0}} \quad \text { and } y>-y_{0}, \quad y_{0}>0 \\
& p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}+\left(p_{1}+p_{0}\right) u_{2}>0 \\
& \quad \text { if } \quad d_{2}<\left|\left(p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right) /\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)\right| e^{-t_{2} y_{0}} \quad \text { and } y \leqslant-y_{0} \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

with $y_{0}$ fixed but arbitrary. Then $P>0$ for all $y$ real values.
(ii) Case $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}<0$ and we assume $\tau_{1}>0, \tau_{2}<0$. If $p_{0}<0$, then $p_{1}>0$, $p_{2}>0, p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}>0$, only $p_{0} u_{1} u_{2}<0$ in (A.3), and we find

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}\left(p_{0}+p_{2}+p_{0} u_{2}\right)>0 & \text { if } \quad d_{2}<\left|\left(p_{2}+p_{0}\right) / p_{0}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad y \geqslant 0 \\
u_{2}\left(p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{0} u_{1}\right)>0 & \text { if } \quad d_{1}<\left|\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right) / p_{0}\right| & \text { and } \quad y \leqslant 0 \tag{A.5}
\end{array}
$$

If $p_{0}>0$, only $p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{2}$ can be negative in (A.3). If so, one $p_{j}$ (or both) is negative,

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\left(p_{0}+p_{2}\right)\left(1+u_{1}\right)+p_{1}>0 & \text { if } & d_{1}>\left|\left(p_{0}+p_{2}\right) / p_{1}\right|-1 & \text { and } \\
\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)\left(1+u_{2}\right)+p_{2}>0 & \text { if } & d_{2}>\left|\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right) / p_{2}\right|-1 & \text { and }  \tag{A.6}\\
y \leqslant 0
\end{array}
$$

Finally, $P$ is positive in both cases for all $y$ values.

## APPENDIX B. MODEL WITH THE FIRST TWO COMPONENTS DEPENDING ONLY ON $y$

## B.1. Relations

The solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{i}=n_{0 i}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j i} D_{j}^{-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 4 \\
& D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\rho_{j} t\right), \quad j=1,2 \\
& D_{3}=1+d_{3} \exp \left(\tau_{3} y+\rho_{3} t+\gamma_{3} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with 23 parameters $n_{0 i} n_{j i}, \rho_{j}, \tau_{j}, \gamma_{j}$ substituted into the nonlinear discrete model Eq. (1.1) lead to 19 relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{j 1}=n_{j 2}, \quad j=1,2, \quad n_{01} n_{02}=a n_{03} n_{04}, \quad a\left(n_{14} n_{23}+n_{13} n_{24}\right)=2 n_{21} n_{11} \\
n_{j 1} \rho_{j}= \\
=n_{j 3}\left(\rho_{j}+\tau_{j}\right)=n_{j 4}\left(\tau_{j}-\rho_{j}\right)=a n_{j 3} n_{j 4}-n_{j 1}^{2}  \tag{B.2}\\
=
\end{gather*}
$$

We have put $n_{21}^{+}=n_{01}+n_{02}$. Relations (B.1), (B.2) are for the first two components $j=1,2$, while (B.3), (B.4) are those of the third one. Since $a$ is not fixed, we have five free parameters.

## B.2. Solutions

We define two new parameters $z_{j}=n_{j 4} / n_{j 3}, j=1,2$, and write $P=z_{1} z_{2}$ and $S=z_{1}+z_{2}$. We choose ( $P, S, n_{01}, n_{02}, n_{03}$ ) as the arbitrary parameters.

## B.2.1. Parameters for the First Two Components $\boldsymbol{j = 1 , 2} 2$

 For simplicity we introduce intermediate parameters $\bar{n}_{j 1}=n_{j 1} / n_{j 3}$ and from (B.1), (B.2) deduce$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{n}_{j 1}=-2 z_{j} /\left(1+z_{j}\right), \quad a=8 P /[S(S+P+1)]  \tag{B.5}\\
n_{j 3}\left(a z_{j}-\bar{n}_{j 1}^{2}\right)=-a\left(n_{03} z_{j}+n_{04}\right)+\bar{n}_{j 1} n_{21}^{+}=\tau_{j} 2 z_{j} /\left(1-z_{j}\right) \tag{B.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

whence all $n_{j i}, \tau_{j}, \rho_{j}$, and $a$ are known:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{j 3}=2\left\{P\left[n_{03}\left(1+z_{j}\right)+2 n_{21}^{+} a^{-1}\right]+n_{04}\left(z_{i}+P\right)\right\} /\left(z_{j}-z_{i}\right)\left(z_{j}-P\right), \\
& n_{j 4}=z_{j} n_{j 3}, \quad n_{j 1}=n_{j 2}=-2 z_{j} n_{j 3} /\left(1+z_{j}\right)  \tag{B.7}\\
& 2 \tau_{j} z_{j}=\left(z_{j}-1\right)\left[a\left(n_{03} z_{j}+n_{04}\right)+2 z_{j} n_{21}^{+} /\left(1+z_{j}\right)\right] \\
& \rho_{j}=-\tau_{j} n_{j 3} /\left(n_{j 1}+n_{j 3}\right) \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

B.2.2. Parameters for the Third Component. We introduce other intermediate parameters $y_{3}=n_{31} / n_{32}$ and $\bar{n}_{3 i}=n_{3 i} / n_{32}, i=3,4$, and obtain from (B.3), (B.4) their expressions as functions of the free parameters $P, S$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
 \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (B.3), $n_{32}$ can be written down with the intermediate parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{32}\left(a P \bar{n}_{33}^{2}-y_{3}\right)=-a \bar{n}_{33}\left(n_{03} P+n_{04}\right)+n_{01}+y_{3} n_{02} \tag{B.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence all the parameters $n_{3 i}, \rho_{3}, \tau_{3}, \gamma_{3}$ of the third component are known:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{32}(P+1-S) /(P+1+S)=n_{02}+ n_{01} / y_{3}+a(P+1)\left(n_{03}+n_{04} / P\right) /\left(1+y_{3}\right), \\
& n_{31}=y_{3} n_{32} \\
& n_{33}(P+1-S) / 2(P+1)= n_{03}+n_{04} P^{-1}+(P+1+S) \\
& \times\left(n_{01}+n_{02} y_{3} / 2 P\left(1+y_{3}\right)\right.  \tag{B.12}\\
&=\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right)\left(n_{31} n_{32}-a n_{33} n_{34}\right) \\
& n_{34}=P n_{33}, \quad \rho_{3} 2 n_{33} n_{34}=\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right)\left(n_{31} n_{32}-a n_{33} n_{34}\right) \\
& \tau_{3}\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right)=\rho_{3}\left(n_{34}-n_{33}\right), \quad \gamma_{3}\left(n_{32}+n_{31}\right)=\rho_{3}\left(n_{32}-n_{31}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $y_{3}$ and $a$ written in (B.5)-(B.9) as functions of $P, S$.

## B.3. Determination of the Asymptotic Quantities $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$

We want to express the 12 quantities $\sum_{1}^{2}=\sum_{j=0}^{2} n_{j i}, \Sigma_{i}^{03}=n_{0 i}+n_{3 i}$, $\Sigma_{i}^{3}=\sum_{j=0}^{3} n_{j i}$ as functions of the free parameters $P, S, n_{01}, n_{02}$, and $n_{03}$. Invariance properties allow us to calculate explicitly only six of them.
B.3.1. Invariance Properties. From the relations $n_{j 1}=n_{j 2}$, $j=1,2, n_{31} / n_{32}=y_{3}$ we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_{2} \quad \text { with the transform }\left(n_{01} \leftrightarrow n_{02}, y_{3} \leftrightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right) \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the relations $n_{j 3} / n_{j 4}=z_{j}, j=1,2$, we get
$\Sigma_{3} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_{4} \quad$ with the transform ( $n_{03} \leftrightarrow n_{04}, P \leftrightarrow P^{-1}, S \leftrightarrow S P^{-1}$ )
However, the $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}$ are written as polynomials in $n_{03}$ of the second degree
with coefficients that are functions of $P, S$. From $n_{04}=n_{01} n_{02} / a n_{03}$ we see that (B.14) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{3} & =\Omega_{3}\left(n_{03}+n_{01} A_{13}\right)\left(n_{03}+n_{02} A_{23}\right) \rightarrow n_{03} \Sigma_{4} \\
& =\Omega^{T} A_{13}^{T} A_{23}^{T} a\left(n_{03}+n_{01} / a A_{23}^{T}\right)\left(n_{03}+n_{02} A_{13}^{T} a\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega_{3}^{T}$ means $\Omega\left(P \rightarrow P^{-1}, S \rightarrow S P^{-1}\right), A_{12}^{T}=\cdots$.
For the calculated $\Sigma_{i}$ we use the following method: Since all the $n_{j i}$ are linear combinations of the $n_{0 i}$, the same property holds for the $\Sigma_{i}$. One can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{04}=n_{01} n_{02} S(S+P+1) / 8 P n_{03} \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}$ is a second-degree polynomial in $n_{03}$. It turns out that the roots are $n_{0 j}, j=1,2$, multiplied by functions of $P$ and $S$ only. Further, all the roots are real.
B.3.2. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathbf{2}}$. From (B.7) we obtain the linear $n_{0 i}$ relations for $\Sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P+1-S)\left(n_{11}+n_{21}\right) & =4 P n_{03}+4 n_{04}+2 S n_{21}^{+} \\
(P+1-S) \Sigma_{1}^{2} & =4 P n_{03}+4 n_{04}+2 S_{02}+(P+1+S) n_{01} \\
(S-P-1) \sum_{j=1}^{2} n_{j 3} & =2 n_{04} S / P+2(P+1) n_{03}+n_{21}^{+} S(S+P+1) \\
(S-P-1) \Sigma_{3}^{2} & =(S+P+1)\left(n_{03}+n_{21}^{+} S / 2 P\right)+2 n_{04} S / P
\end{aligned}
$$

while (B.15) leads to the quadratic relations and the transforms (B.13)-(B.14') to $\Sigma_{2}^{2}, \Sigma_{4}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{2}=\Omega_{1}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{1}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{2}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{2}^{2}=\Omega_{2}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{2}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{1}\right) \quad \text { (B.16) }  \tag{B.16}\\
\Omega_{1}^{2}=\Omega_{2}^{2}=4 P /(P+1-S), \quad A_{1}=-(P+1+S) / 4 P, \quad A_{2}=-S / 2 P=1 / a A_{1} \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{3}^{2}=\Omega_{3}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{2}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{2}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{4}^{2}=\Omega_{4}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{1}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{1}\right)  \tag{B.17}\\
\Omega_{3}^{2}=(1+P+S) /(S-1-P), \quad \Omega_{4}^{2}=2 S / P(S-1-P)
\end{gather*}
$$

B.3.3. $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$. Adding to $n_{32}, n_{33}$ [see (B.12)] either $n_{02}$ or $n_{03}$, we get the linear relations for $\sum_{2 \text { or } 3}^{03}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P+1-S) \Sigma_{2}^{03}= & 8(P+1)\left(n_{03} P+n_{04}\right) / S\left(1+y_{3}\right) \\
& +(P+1+S) n_{01} / y_{3}+2(P+1) n_{02} \\
(S-P-1) \Sigma_{3}^{03} /(S+P-1)= & n_{03}+2 n_{04}(P+1) / P(P+S+1) \\
& +(P+1)\left(n_{01}+n_{02} y_{3}\right) / P\left(1+y_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

while (B.15), the identity $\left(1+y_{3}\right)^{2}=y_{3} 4(P+1) / S$, and the transforms (B.13)-(B.14') applied to $\Sigma_{i}^{03}, i=2,3$, give $\sum_{i}^{03}, i=1$ and 4:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{03}=\Omega_{1}^{03}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{3}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{4}\right) \\
& n_{03} \Sigma_{2}^{03}=\Omega_{2}^{03}\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{4} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{3} n_{02}\right) \\
& \Omega_{1}^{03}=2 P\left(1+y_{3}\right) /(1+P-S) \\
& \Omega_{2}^{03}=\Omega_{1}^{03}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right)=y_{3}^{-1} \Omega_{1}^{03}  \tag{B.18}\\
& A_{3}=-(P+1) / P\left(1+y_{3}\right) \\
& \tilde{A}_{3}=A_{3}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right)=A_{3} y_{3} \\
& A_{4}=-(P+S+1) y_{3} / 2 P\left(1+y_{3}\right) \\
& \tilde{A}_{4}=A_{4}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right)=A_{4} / y_{3} \\
& n_{03} \Sigma_{3}^{03}=\Omega_{3}^{03}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{3}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{3}\right) \\
& n_{03} \Sigma_{4}^{03}=\Omega_{4}^{03}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{4}\right)  \tag{B.19}\\
& \Omega_{3}^{03}=(P+S+1) /(S-P-1), \quad \Omega_{4}^{03}=2 P(P+1) /(S-P-1)
\end{align*}
$$

B.3.4. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}^{\mathbf{3}}$. We need other $y_{3}$ identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(3+y_{3}\right)^{2} & =4\left[2+y_{3}(1+P+S) / S\right] \\
S(S+3 P+3) & =\left[S+2(P+1) /\left(1+y_{3}\right)\right]\left[S+2(P+1) y_{3} /\left(1+y_{3}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

To the linear $n_{0 i}$ relations $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, i=1,3$, of Section B.3.2 we add, respectively, $n_{31}$ and $n_{32}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P+1-S) \Sigma_{1}^{3}= & 2 P\left(3+y_{3}\right) n_{03}+2\left(3+y_{3}\right) n_{04} \\
& +\left[2 S+(P+1+S) y_{3}\right] n_{02}+2(P+S+1) n_{01} \\
(S-P-1) \Sigma_{3}^{3}= & n_{03}(S+3 P+3)+\frac{2 n_{04}(S+P+1)}{P}+\frac{1+P+S}{2 P} \\
& \times\left[n_{01}\left(S+\frac{2 P+2}{1+y_{3}}\right)+n_{02}\left(S+\frac{2(P+1) y_{3}}{1+y_{3}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

With (B.15)-(B.9') we find the quadratic relations and with the transforms (B.13)-(B.14') deduce $\Sigma_{2}^{3}, \Sigma_{4}^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{3}=\Omega_{1}^{3}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{5}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{6}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{2}^{3}=\Omega_{2}^{3}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}\right) \\
-A_{5}=(P+S+1) / P\left(3+y_{3}\right) \\
-A_{6}=\left[2 S+y_{3}(P+1+S)\right] / 2 P\left(3+y_{3}\right)  \tag{B.20}\\
\tilde{A}_{5}=A_{5}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right) \\
\tilde{A}_{6}=A_{6}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right) \\
\Omega_{1}^{3}=2 P\left(3+y_{3}\right) /(P+1-S), \quad \Omega_{2}^{3}=\Omega_{1}^{3}\left(y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{3}^{3}=\Omega_{3}^{3}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{5}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{4}^{3}=\Omega_{4}^{3}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{6}\right)  \tag{B.21}\\
\Omega_{3}^{3}=(3+3 P+S) /(S-P-1), \quad \Omega_{4}^{3}=2 P(S+P+1) /(S-P-1)
\end{gather*}
$$

## B.4. Sufficient Positivity Conditions for the $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

We define a scaling parameter $S=-s(P+1)$, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{n}_{03}=n_{03} P /(P+1), & A_{i}=(P+1) B_{i} / P, \quad \tilde{A}_{i}=(P+1) \widetilde{B}_{i} / P  \tag{B.22}\\
& \bar{\Sigma}_{i}=\bar{n}_{03} \Sigma_{i}(s+1)
\end{array}
$$

The above relations for $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Sigma}_{i}=\Omega_{i}(P+1) P^{-1}\left(\bar{n}_{03}-B_{1 i} n_{01}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{03}-B_{2 i} n_{02}\right) \tag{B.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the roots $B_{1 i}$ and $B_{2 i}$ are obviously the $B_{i}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{i}$ deduced from (B.16)-(B.21) as written down in Table I.

Lemma 1. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}=(s-1) / 4, \quad B_{2}=s / 2, \quad B_{3}=-\left(1+y_{3}\right)^{-1} \\
B_{4}=(s-1) y_{3} / 2\left(1+y_{3}\right), \quad B_{5}=(s-1) /\left(3+y_{3}\right)=2(s-1) \widetilde{B}_{6} /(s-3) \\
B_{6}=\left[2 s+(s-1) y_{3}\right] / 2\left(3+y_{3}\right)=\left[-2 y_{3}+s\left(1+y_{3}\right)\right] / 4\left(1+y_{3}\right) \\
\widetilde{B}_{3}=B_{3} y_{3}, \quad \widetilde{B}_{4}=B_{4} / y_{3} \\
\tilde{B}_{5}=(s-1) y_{3} /\left(3 y_{3}+1\right), \quad \widetilde{B}_{6}=\left[s\left(1+y_{3}\right)-2\right] / 4\left(1+y_{3}\right) \\
\left.s>3: \quad y_{3}=y_{3}^{-}=-\left\{1+2\left[1+(s+1)^{1 / 2}\right] / s\right\}\right) \tag{B.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

If
then the following properties hold:
(i) $y_{3}+1<0<y_{3}+3, \quad B_{i}>0, \quad i=1, \ldots, 6$

$$
\widetilde{B}_{5}>0, \quad \widetilde{B}_{6}>0, \quad \widetilde{B}_{3}<0, \quad \tilde{B}_{4}<0, \quad s+y_{3}(s-2)>0
$$

(ii) $B_{6}<B_{1}<\widetilde{B}_{5}, \quad B_{1}<\widetilde{B}_{6}<B_{5}$
(iii) $B_{1}<B_{2}, \quad B_{3}<B_{1}<B_{4}$

Proofs. (i) $y_{3}+1<0$ is obvious; $\left(y_{3}+3\right) s / 2=s-1-(s+1)^{1 / 2}>0$ is equivalent to $s(s-3)>0$ and

$$
-2 y_{3}+s\left(1+y_{3}\right)=(2 / s)\left[2+(2-s)(s+1)^{1 / 2}\right]<(2 / s)\left[2-(s+1)^{1 / 2}\right] \leqslant 0
$$

Consequently all $B_{i}, \widetilde{B}_{5}, \widetilde{B}_{6}$ are positive, while $\widetilde{B}_{3}, \widetilde{B}_{4}$ are negative.
(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1+B_{6} / B_{1}=\left(y_{3}-1\right) /\left(1+y_{3}\right)(1-s)<0 \\
& -1+\widetilde{B}_{5} / B_{1}=\left(y_{3}-1\right) /\left(3 y_{3}+1\right)>0 \\
& -1+B_{5} / \widetilde{B}_{6}=(s+1) /(s-3)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Also note the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 B_{1}=B_{6}+\widetilde{B}_{6}=B_{4}+\widetilde{B}_{4} \\
B_{3}+\widetilde{B}_{3}=-1 \rightarrow-1+\widetilde{B}_{6} / B_{1}=1-B_{6} / B_{1}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{1} / B_{2} & =1 / 2-1 / 2 s<1 / 2  \tag{iii}\\
-1+B_{1} / B_{3} & =(s+1)^{1 / 2}\left[s-1-(s+1)^{1 / 2}\right] / 2 s>0 \\
B_{1} / B_{4} & =1 / 2+1 / 2 y_{3}<1 / 2
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 1. All the $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive if the following sufficient conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
s>3, \quad P>0, \quad y_{3}=y_{3}^{-}, \quad 0<b_{01}<n_{02} B_{6} / B_{1}  \tag{B.25}\\
n_{01} B_{3}<\bar{n}_{03}=n_{03} P /(P+1)<n_{01} B_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proofs. For $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$. All the coefficients of $\bar{n}_{03}^{2}$ as well as the roots $n_{0 k} B_{j}$, $j, k=1,2, j \neq k$ are positive. It is sufficient for $\Sigma_{i}^{2}>0$ that $\bar{n}_{03}$ be less than the inf of the roots. From the lemma $n_{01}<n_{02} B_{6} / B_{1}<n_{02}$ and $B_{1}<B_{2}$. The smallest root is $n_{01} B_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{2}>0$ if $\bar{n}_{03}<n_{01} B_{1}$.

For $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$. For $\Sigma_{2}^{03}$ the coefficient of $\bar{n}_{03}$ is positive and the two roots proportional to $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ are negative. $\Sigma_{2}^{03}>0$ for $\bar{n}_{03}>0$. For $\Sigma_{1}^{03}$ the coefficient of $\bar{n}_{03}^{2}$ is negative and the two roots are positive. For the positivity, applying the lemma, it is sufficient that $n_{01} B_{3}<\bar{n}_{03}<n_{02} B_{4}$. For
$\Sigma_{3}^{03}$ the coefficient of $\bar{n}_{03}^{2}$ is positive, one root is positive, and the other is negative. For $\Sigma_{3}^{03}>0$ then $\bar{n}_{03}>n_{01} B_{3}$. For $\sum_{4}^{03}$ the coefficient of $\bar{n}_{03}^{2}$ is negative, with one root positive and the other negative; for positivity it is sufficient that $\bar{n}_{03}<n_{02} B_{4}$. Due to $B_{3}<B_{1}<B_{4}$ we see that both $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ are positive with (B.25).

For $\Sigma_{\dot{3}}^{3}$. All four coefficients of $\bar{n}_{03}^{2}$ are positive and the four roots $n_{01} B_{5}, n_{01} \tilde{B}_{6}, n_{02} B_{6}$, and $n_{02} \widetilde{B}_{5}$ are positive. For positivity it is sufficient that $\bar{n}_{03}$ be less than the smallest root. From the lemma and the hypothesis (B.25), $n_{01} B_{1}$ is smaller than all roots and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}>0$ for $\bar{n}_{03}<n_{01} B_{1}$. In conclusion, (B.25) is sufficient for the positivity of all $\Sigma_{i}$. Finally, we notice that $z_{j}=z_{ \pm}=(S \mp \sqrt{ } \Delta) / 2$ are real and negative for $s>3$ and $P>0$ because $\Delta=S^{2}-4 P>0$ and $S=-s(P+1)<0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 z_{ \pm}=s(P+1)(-1 \mp \sqrt{ } \delta), \quad \delta=1-4 P[s(P+1)]^{-2} \tag{B.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $1+z_{+}=x+\left[x^{2}+(P+1)(s-1)\right]^{1 / 2}$ with $x=1-s(P+1) / 2<0$, it follows that $1+z_{+}>0$, while $S<-3, z_{-}<-2$.

## B.5. Condition $\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathbf{2}}>\mathbf{0}$

The sign of $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is given [see (B.8)] by the product of two quadratic polynomials in $n_{03}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2} n_{03}^{2} / a^{2}(P+1)=\mathscr{T}_{1} \mathscr{T}_{2}, \quad \mathscr{T}_{i}=n_{03}^{2}+2 n_{03} \alpha /\left(1+z_{i}\right)+\beta / z_{i}  \tag{B.27}\\
\alpha=n_{21}^{+} / a, \quad \beta a=n_{01} n_{02}, \quad a \alpha^{2}>4 \beta
\end{gather*}
$$

The two roots $n_{03, z}^{\text {F }}$ of the polynomial $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ are real and have opposite signs ( $\beta z_{i}<0$ ). Then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ if, for instance, $0<n_{03}<\inf \left(n_{03, z_{+}}\right)$, where the positive roots are
$n_{03, z_{ \pm}}=-\alpha /\left(1+z_{ \pm}\right)+\sqrt{\Delta_{ \pm}}>0, \quad \Delta_{ \pm}=\left[\alpha /\left(1+z_{ \pm}\right)\right]^{2}-\beta / z$
First we show that $n_{03, z_{+}}$is the smallest root and second that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ for (B.25).

Lemma 2. If $P>0, s>3$ we find the inequalities: (i) $\Delta_{+}>\Delta_{-}$, (ii) $\Delta_{+}+\Delta_{-}<\alpha^{2} \Delta /(1+P+S)^{2}$, (iii) $n_{03, z_{+}}<n_{03, z_{-}}$.

Proofs. (i) We notice that $\sqrt{\Delta}=z_{+}-z_{-}$and find

$$
\Delta_{+}-\Delta_{-}=\sqrt{ } \Delta\left[\beta / P-\alpha^{2}(S+2) /(1+P+S)^{2}\right]>0
$$

because $S+2<0, \alpha>0, \beta>0$.
(ii) We find

$$
\Delta_{+}+\Delta_{-}-\alpha^{2} \Delta /(1+P+S)^{2}=2\left(\alpha^{2}-4 \beta / a\right) /(1+P+S)<0
$$

due to $1+P+S=(1+P)(1-s)<0$.
(iii) First we have $\left(\sqrt{\Delta_{+}}-\sqrt{\Delta_{-}}\right)^{2}<\Delta_{+}+\Delta_{-}<\alpha^{2} \Delta /(1+P+S)^{2}$ and taking the positive determination of the square roots we find $\sqrt{\Delta_{+}}-\sqrt{\Delta_{-}}-\alpha \sqrt{\Delta} /(1+P+S)<0$ or $n_{03, z_{+}}<n_{03, z_{-}}$.

For the solutions satisfying Theorem 1 [Eq. (B.25)],
if $n_{03, z_{+}}>(P+1) n_{01} B_{1} / P$ and $0<n_{03}<n_{03, z_{+}}$then $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$

Lemma 3. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad Q=Q_{1}+Q_{2} \\
& Q_{1}=n_{01} B_{1}(P+1)\left[a B_{1}(P+1) / P+2 /\left(1+z_{+}\right)\right] \\
& Q_{2}=n_{02}\left[z_{-}+2 B_{1}(P+1) /\left(1+z_{+}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (B.29) is satisfied if $Q<0$.
We remark that

$$
Q n_{01} / a P=-\Delta_{+}+\left[\alpha /\left(1+z_{+}\right)+B_{1}(P+1) n_{01} / P\right]^{2}
$$

with $\Delta_{+}, \alpha, \beta$ given by $(B .27)-(B .28)$ and if $Q<0$ then $\sqrt{\Delta_{+}}>$ $\alpha /\left(1+z_{+}\right)+B_{1} n_{01}(P+1) / P$ or equivalently $n_{03, z_{+}}>(P+1) n_{01} B_{1} / P$,

Lemma 4. $Q_{1}>0, Q_{2}<0$. We recall that $1+z_{+}>0[(\mathrm{~B} .26)]$ and obtain $2 Q_{2}\left(1+z_{+}\right)(P+1) n_{02}=x-\sqrt{\delta}<0$ because $x=(P-1) s^{-1} /(P+1)$ and $\delta=x^{2}+1-s^{-2}>x^{2}$. On the other hand,

$$
Q_{1} / n_{01} B_{1}(P+1)=2(P+1) / s+(1+\sqrt{ } \delta) /\left(1+z_{+}\right)>0
$$

Consequently, if in (B.25) $n_{01}=0$, then $Q<0$ and this property holds for any $n_{01}$ if it holds for $n_{01} \sup =n_{02} B_{6} / B_{1}$.

Lemma 5. $Q<0$ for $n_{01}=n_{01}$ sup. From Lemma 3 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(1+z_{+}\right) Q / n_{02}(P+1)<\bar{Q}=(P-1) /(P+1)-s \sqrt{\delta} \\
&+2 B_{6}[1+\sqrt{ } \delta+2 / s(P+1)] \\
& \bar{Q}=\bar{Q}_{1}+\bar{Q}_{2}, \quad y_{3}=y_{3}^{-} \tag{B.30}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\bar{Q}=1+s-\left(s+y_{3}\right)[s(1+\sqrt{\delta})+2 /(P+1)]
$$

$$
\bar{Q}_{1}=\left[2-(s+1)^{1 / 2}\right][1 /(P+1)+s(1+\sqrt{ } \delta) / 2] /\left[s-(s+1)^{1 / 2}-1\right]<0
$$

$$
\bar{Q}_{2}=(s+2)(x-\sqrt{\delta})
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
x=s /(s+2)-2 / s(P+1) \\
\delta=x^{2}+4\left[(s+1) /(s+2)^{2}+\left(s^{2}-s-2\right) / s^{2}(P+1)(s+1)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Due to $\delta-x^{2}>0$, we find $\bar{Q}_{2}<0$, leading to $\bar{Q}<0$ and $Q<0$.
Theorem 1bis. Because the conditions (B.25) on the five arbitrary parameters lead to $N_{i}$ solutions with $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$, then for these solutions their asymptotic positivity conditions $\Sigma_{i}>0$ are satisfied.

## APPENDIX C. MODELS WITH THE TWO FIRST COMPONENTS DEPENDING ONLY ON $y+\mu x$ AT $t=0$

## C.1. Relations

The solutions

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{i}=n_{0 i}+\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j i} D_{j}^{-1} \\
D_{j}=1+d_{j} \exp \left(\tau_{j} y+\gamma_{j} x+\rho_{j} t\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, 4, \quad \gamma_{j}=\tau_{j} \mu, \quad j=1,2
\end{gathered}
$$

with 26 parameters $n_{0 i}, n_{j i}, \tau_{j}, \gamma_{j}, \rho_{j}$, and $\mu$ substituted into the nonlinear discrete model Eq. (1.1) lead to 21 relations: $a=1$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{j}=\mu \tau_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \quad n_{04}=n_{01} n_{02} / n_{03} \\
n_{p 3} n_{m 4}+n_{p 4} n_{m 3}=n_{p 1} n_{m 2}+n_{p 2} n_{m 1}, \quad p \neq m  \tag{C.1}\\
n_{j 1}\left(\rho_{j}+\gamma_{j}\right)=n_{j 2}\left(\rho_{j}-\gamma_{j}\right)=-n_{j 3}\left(\rho_{j}+\tau_{j}\right)=n_{j 4}\left(\tau_{j}-\rho_{j}\right) \\
=n_{j 3} n_{j 4}-n_{j 1} n_{j 2}=n_{01} n_{j 2}+n_{02} n_{j 1}-n_{03} n_{j 4}-n_{04} n_{j 3}, \quad j=1,2,3
\end{gather*}
$$

## C.2. Solutions

We again define $z_{j}=n_{j 4} / n_{j 3}, j=1,2, P=z_{1} z_{2}, S=z_{1}+z_{2}$, and choose ( $P, S, n_{0 j}, j=1,2,3$ ) as the five arbitrary parameters from which we deduce the others. We note that $n_{04}$ is obtained from (C.1).
C.2.1. Parameters for the Two First Components $j=1,2$. We again introduce intermediate parameters $\bar{n}_{j i}=n_{j i} / n_{j 3}$ and from (C.1) deduce

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{n}_{j 1}=2 z_{j} / C_{j}, \quad C_{j}=\mu-1-(\mu+1) z_{j}  \tag{C.2}\\
\bar{n}_{j 2}=2 z_{j} / E_{j}, \quad E_{j}=C_{j}(-\mu)=-\mu-1+(\mu-1) z_{j}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $j=1,2$. At this stage $\mu$ is unknown; however, the compatibility relation $p, m=1,2$ in (C.1) becomes $z_{1}+z_{2}=\bar{n}_{12} \bar{n}_{21}+\bar{n}_{22} \bar{n}_{11}$, and leads to $\mu(P, S)$ and so to $\bar{n}_{j i}(P, S)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}=(1+P+S) /(1+P-S)^{2}-8 P(1+P+S) / S(1+P-S)^{2} \tag{C.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rhs of (C.3) must be positive and $\mu$ has two possible determinations. From the definitions of $z_{j}$ and $\bar{n}_{j i}$ we see that the $n_{j i}$ are known (as functions of the arbitrary parameters) once $n_{j 3}$ is obtained. From (C.1) and the $n_{i j}$ we get $\rho_{j}, \tau_{j}$, and $\gamma_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{j 3}=M_{j} /\left(z_{j}-\bar{n}_{j 1} \bar{n}_{j 2}\right) \\
M_{j}=-n_{03} z_{j}-n_{04}+n_{01} \bar{n}_{j 2}+n_{02} \tilde{n}_{j 1}  \tag{C.4}\\
n_{j 4}=z_{j} n_{j 3}, \quad n_{j i}=\bar{n}_{j i} n_{j 3}, \quad i=1,2 \\
\tau_{j}=\left(1-z_{j}\right) M_{j} / 2 z_{j}, \quad \rho_{j}=\tau_{j}\left(1+z_{j}\right) /\left(z_{j}-1\right), \quad \gamma_{j}=\mu \tau_{j}, \quad j=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

C.2.2. Parameters for the Third Component $j=3$. We introduce intermediate parameters $y_{3}=n_{31} / n_{32}$ and $\tilde{n}_{3 i} / n_{32}, i=3,4$, and the $p, m=1,3$ and 2,3 (C.1) relations become $\bar{n}_{33} z_{j}+\bar{n}_{34}=\bar{n}_{j 1}+y_{3} \bar{n}_{j 2}$, leading to

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{n}_{33}= & 2\left[(\mu-1) / C_{1} C_{2}-(\mu+1) y_{3} / E_{1} E_{2}\right] \\
\bar{n}_{34}= & -2 P\left[(\mu+1) / C_{1} C_{2}-(\mu-1) y_{3} / E_{1} E_{2}\right]  \tag{C.5}\\
& \left(\bar{n}_{33}+\bar{n}_{34}\right) y_{3}+\bar{n}_{34} \bar{n}_{33}\left(1+y_{3}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and a cubic $y_{3}$ equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(y_{3}+1\right)\left\{2\left(\mu^{2}-1\right)\left[C_{1} C_{2} y_{3}^{2} / E_{1} E_{2}+E_{1} E_{2} / C_{1} C_{2}\right]-4 y_{3}\left(\mu^{2}+1\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+y_{3}^{2} C_{1} C_{2}[1-\mu+(\mu+1) / P]+y_{3} E_{1} E_{2}[1+\mu+(1-\mu) / P]=0 \tag{C.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In (C.6) all coefficients $C_{j}, E_{j}, \mu$ are known $P, S$ functions; consequently, (C.6) gives $y_{3}$ and (C.5) $\bar{n}_{3 i}$ also as $P, S$ functions. Now the construction of the $n_{3 i}$ parameters is possible once $n_{32}$ is obtained:

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{32}=\left(n_{03} \bar{n}_{34}+n_{04} \bar{n}_{33}-n_{01}-n_{02} y_{3}\right) /\left(y_{3}-\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\right)  \tag{C.7}\\
n_{31}=y_{3} n_{32}, \quad n_{3 i}=\bar{n}_{3 i} n_{32}, \quad i=1,2
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, the same relations as in (B.12) hold for $\tau_{3}, \gamma_{3}$, and $\rho_{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \rho_{3} n_{33} n_{34} & =\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right)\left(n_{31} n_{32}-n_{33} n_{34}\right) \\
\tau_{3}\left(n_{33}+n_{34}\right) & =\rho_{3}\left(n_{34}-n_{33}\right)  \tag{C.8}\\
\gamma_{3}\left(n_{32}+n_{31}\right) & =\rho_{3}\left(n_{32}-n_{31}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## C.3. Determination of the Asymptotic Quantities $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$

As in Appendix B, two important properties exist: (i) the roots of $\Sigma_{i}=0$ are of the type $n_{03}=n_{0 j}$ multiplied by a function of $P, S$ alone; (ii) there exist relations between the roots corresponding to different $i$ values.

At the linear $n_{0 i}$ level of the relations if an identity holds, then (i) holds at the quadratic $n_{03}$ level of the relations:

$$
\Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}+\sum_{j \neq 3} n_{0 j} \alpha_{i j}(P, S)\right.
$$

If $\alpha_{1 i} \alpha_{2 i}=\alpha_{4 i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}+n_{01} \alpha_{i i}\right)\left(n_{03}+n_{02} \alpha_{2 i}\right) / n_{03} \tag{C.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

These identities are trivial for $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ and difficult to prove for $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$. We begin with the trivial case.
C.3.1. $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$. From (C.7) we remark that the $\Sigma_{i}$ are linear combination of the $n_{0 i}$; we quote $\Sigma_{i}^{03} / \Omega_{i}^{03}-n_{03}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
i=1: & n_{04} \bar{n}_{33} / \bar{n}_{34}-n_{01} \bar{n}_{34} / y_{3}-n_{02} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34} \\
i=2: & n_{04} \bar{n}_{33} / \bar{n}_{34}-n_{01} / \bar{n}_{34}-n_{02} \bar{n}_{33} \\
i=3: & n_{04} \bar{n}_{33}^{2} / y_{3}-n_{01} \bar{n}_{33} / y_{3}-n_{02} \bar{n}_{33}  \tag{C.10}\\
i=4: & n_{04} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}^{2}-n_{01} / \bar{n}_{34}-n_{02} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}
\end{array}
$$

Since the coefficients of $n_{04}$ are the product of those for $n_{01}$ and $n_{02}$, we apply (C. 9 ) and find the quadratic $n_{03}$ polynomials for $\Sigma_{i} n_{03}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{03}=\Omega_{1}^{03}\left(n_{03}-A_{3} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}-A_{4} n_{02}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{2}^{03}=\Omega_{2}^{03}\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{4} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{3} n_{02}\right) \\
\left.n_{03} \Sigma_{3}^{03}=\Omega_{2}^{03}\left(n_{03}-A_{3} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{3} n_{02}\right) \quad \text { (C. } 11\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{4}^{03}=\Omega_{4}^{03}\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{4} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}-A_{4} n_{02}\right)  \tag{C.11}\\
A_{3}=\bar{n}_{33} / y_{3}, \quad A_{4}=y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}, \quad \tilde{A}_{3}=\bar{n}_{33}, \quad \tilde{A}_{4}=1 / \bar{n}_{34}, \\
\Omega_{2}^{03}=\bar{n}_{34} /\left(y_{3}-\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\right), \quad \Omega_{1}^{03}=y_{3} \Omega_{2}^{03}, \quad \Omega_{4}^{03}=\bar{n}_{34} \Omega_{2}^{03}, \quad \Omega_{3}^{03}=\Omega_{2}^{03} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

In fact, with the help of invariance properties, it was sufficient to calculate $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ for $i=1$ and 3, then deduce $\Sigma_{i}$ for $i=2$ and 4:
(i) The relations $n_{31} / n_{32}=y_{3}$ and $n_{3 i} / n_{31}=\bar{n}_{3 i} y_{3}^{-1}$ with the exchange
$1 \leftrightarrow 2$ become $n_{32} / n_{31}=y_{3}^{-1}$ and $n_{3 i} / n_{32}=\bar{n}_{3 i}$. Let us consider $P, S$ and $y_{3}, \bar{n}_{3 i}$ as independent variables and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}^{03} \rightarrow \Sigma_{2}^{03} \text { with transform }\left(n_{01} \leftrightarrow n_{02}, y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}, \bar{n}_{3 i} / y_{3} \rightarrow \bar{n}_{3 i}\right) \tag{C.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We easily verify with this transform that the roots $n_{01} A_{3}$ and $n_{02} A_{4}$ of $\Sigma_{1}^{03}$ become the roots $n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}$ and $n_{02} \tilde{A}_{3}$ of $\Sigma_{2}^{03}$, while $\Omega_{1}^{03}$ becomes $\Omega_{2}^{03}$.
(ii) For the exchange $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ we see that $\bar{n}_{3 i} \leftrightarrow \bar{n}_{4 i}$ and deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{3}^{03} \rightarrow \Sigma_{4}^{03} \text { with transform }\left(n_{03} \leftrightarrow n_{04}, \bar{n}_{3 i} \leftrightarrow \bar{n}_{4 i}\right) \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, for $\Sigma_{3}^{03}$ the root $n_{03}=n_{01} A_{3}$ becomes $n_{04}=n_{01} A_{3}\left(\bar{n}_{33} \rightarrow \bar{n}_{34}\right)=$ $n_{01} / A_{4}$ or $n_{03}=n_{02} A_{4}$ root of $\Sigma_{4}^{03}$. Similarly, the root $n_{03}=n_{02} \tilde{A}_{3}$ for $\Sigma_{3}$ becomes $n_{04}=n_{02} \widetilde{A}_{3}\left(\bar{n}_{33} \rightarrow \bar{n}_{34}\right)=n_{02} / \widetilde{A}_{4}$ or $n_{03}=n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}$ root of $\Sigma_{4}$.
C.3.2. $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$. We write down the useful formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{1} C_{2} E_{1} E_{2}= & 16 P(S+P+1) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}-4 P\right) / S^{2}(S-P-1) \\
\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)(1+P-S)^{2}= & -4 S(P+1)+8 P(1+P+S) / S \\
E_{1} E_{2}= & 2(1+P+S)[S(P+1)-4 P] /  \tag{C.14}\\
& S(1+P-S)-2 \mu(P-1) \\
C_{1} C_{2}= & E_{1} E_{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)
\end{align*}
$$

For simplicity we put $\alpha_{k i}=\lambda_{k i} / \lambda_{3 i}$ and rewrite (C.9):

$$
\Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left(n_{03}+\sum_{k \neq 3} n_{0 k} \lambda_{k i} / \lambda_{3 i}\right)
$$

If $\lambda_{1 i} \lambda_{2 i}=\lambda_{3 i} \lambda_{4 i}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{i}=\Omega_{i}\left[n_{03}+\left(\lambda_{1 i} / \lambda_{3 i}\right) n_{01}\right]\left[n_{03}+\left(\lambda_{2 i} / \lambda_{3 i}\right) n_{02}\right] / n_{0} \tag{C.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, i=1,2$. First for $\Sigma_{1}^{2}$ we use the expression (C.2)-(C.4) for $n_{j 1}$ and obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{31}=[\mu(P+1-S)+S+P+1](S-2 P)+4 P(P-1) \\
& \lambda_{41}=[\mu(S-P-1)+S+P+1](S-2)+4(1-P) \\
& \lambda_{11}=4 P(1+P-S) / S  \tag{C.16}\\
& \lambda_{21}=2\left(4 P-S^{2}\right) E_{1} E_{2} / C_{1} C_{2} \\
& \Omega_{1}^{2}=2 \lambda_{31} /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)(1+P-S)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we prove the identity (C.15). We find

$$
\lambda_{11} \lambda_{21}=\left(E_{1} E_{2}\right)^{2}(S-P-1)^{2} S / 2(S+P+1)
$$

In (C.14), $E_{1} E_{2}$ contains $P, S$ terms and terms proportional to $\mu$. For the square, $\mu^{2}$ becomes $S, P$ dependent with (C.3), but terms proportional to $\mu$ remain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{11} \lambda_{12} / 4= & (1+P+S)\left[S\left(P^{2}+1\right)-4 P(P+1)+8 P^{2} / S\right] \\
& -4 P(P-1)^{2}+\mu(1-P)(1+P-S)[S(P+1)-4 P] \tag{C.17}
\end{align*}
$$

For the calculation of $\lambda_{31} \lambda_{41} / 4$, from (C.16) we still find terms proportional to $\mu$ and others only $S, P$ dependent. For both terms we identify with (C.17).

Second, for the exchange $\Sigma_{1}^{2} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_{2}^{2}$ we remark from (C.2) that $n_{j 1} \leftrightarrow n_{j 2}$ or $C_{j} \leftrightarrow E_{j}$ or $\mu \leftrightarrow-\mu$. We find finally in both cases

$$
\begin{gather*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{2}=\Omega_{1}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{1}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{2}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{2}^{2}=\Omega_{2}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1}\right) \\
A_{1}=-\lambda_{11} / \lambda_{31}, \quad A_{2}=-\lambda_{21} / \lambda_{31}, \quad \tilde{A}_{k}=A_{k}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)  \tag{C.18}\\
\Omega_{1}^{2}=2 \lambda_{31} /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)(1+P-S)^{2}, \quad \Omega_{2}^{2}=\Omega_{1}^{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)
\end{gather*}
$$

b. $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, i=3,4$. First, for $\Sigma_{3}^{2}$, with the help of (C.4) for $n_{j \beta}$ we find $\lambda_{33}=-\lambda_{11}, \quad \lambda_{23}=-\lambda_{41}, \quad \lambda_{13}=\lambda_{23}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)=-\lambda_{41}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{43}=2\left(S^{2}-4 P\right) / P, \quad \Omega_{3}^{2}=2 \lambda_{33} /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)(1+P-S)^{2} \tag{C.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

With (C.19) we prove the identity $\lambda_{13} \lambda_{23}=\lambda_{33} \lambda_{34}$. We find

$$
\lambda_{13} \lambda_{23}=-\mu^{2}(S-P-1)^{2}(S-2)^{2}+[(S-2)(S+P+1)+4(1-P)]^{2}
$$

and substituting (C.3) for $\mu^{2}$, we can identify with $\lambda_{33} \lambda_{34}=$ $8\left(S^{2}-4 P\right)(S-P-1) / S$. Consequently, the quadratic representation (C.15) holds and the roots are $n_{03}=-n_{0 j} \lambda_{j 3} / \lambda_{33}$.

We prove that $n_{02} A_{2}$ is a common root to $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, i=1$ and 3. Using the identity (C.15) for $i=1$ and (C.19) we get

$$
\lambda_{23} / \lambda_{33}=-\lambda_{23} / \lambda_{11}=\lambda_{41} / \lambda_{11}=\lambda_{21} / \lambda_{31}=-A_{2}
$$

Finally, from the relation $\lambda_{13} / \lambda_{33}=\lambda_{23} / \lambda_{33}$ (with $\mu \rightarrow-\mu$ ) we see that the other root is $n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}=n_{01} A_{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)$. Second, for $\Sigma_{4}^{2}$ with (C.4) for $n_{j 4}$ we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{24}=\lambda_{14}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)=-\lambda_{31}, \quad \lambda_{34}=2\left(S^{2}-4 P\right) P  \tag{C.20}\\
\lambda_{44}=-\lambda_{11}, \quad \Omega_{4}^{2}=2 \lambda_{34} /\left(1-\mu^{2}\right)(S-P-1)^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the transform $3 \rightarrow 4$ with $n_{03} \leftrightarrow n_{04}$ and $n_{j 3} \rightarrow n_{j 4}, n_{01}$ and $n_{02}$ are not changed. This means that for the product of the two factors $n_{03}-n_{0 j} A_{k}$ in (C.15), only $n_{0 j}, j=1,2$, do not change and we still have a product of two similar factors. Consequently, the identity $\lambda_{14} \lambda_{24}=\lambda_{34} \lambda_{44}$ necessarily holds.

In order to prove that $\Sigma_{1}^{2}$ and $\Sigma_{4}^{2}$ have the common root $n_{01} A_{1}$, it is sufficient to notice that

$$
\lambda_{14} / \lambda_{34}=\lambda_{44} / \lambda_{24}=\lambda_{11} / \lambda_{31}=-A_{1}
$$

Finally, from $\lambda_{24} / \lambda_{34}=\lambda_{14} / \lambda_{34}$ (with $\mu \rightarrow-\mu$ ) $=-A_{1}$, we see that the other root for $\Sigma_{4}^{2}$ is $n_{02} \widetilde{A}_{1}$. We write down $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, i=3,4$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{03} \Sigma_{3}^{2}=\Omega_{3}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{2}\right) \\
& n_{03} \Sigma_{4}^{2}=\Omega_{4}^{2}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{1}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \widetilde{A}_{1}\right) \tag{C.21}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Omega_{i}^{2}, i=3,4$, given in (C.19)-(C.20) and $A_{i}, \tilde{A}_{i}, i=1,2$, in (C.18).
C.3.3. $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{j}}^{\mathbf{3}}=\sum_{j=0}^{\mathbf{3}} \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{j} i}=\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{3} i}+\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mathbf{2}}$. To the linear $n_{0 i}$ polynomial $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$ in (C.15), we add

$$
n_{3 i}=\bar{n}_{3 i} \Omega_{2}^{03}\left(n_{03} \bar{n}_{34}+n_{04} \bar{n}_{33}-n_{02} y_{3}-n_{01}\right) / \bar{n}_{34}
$$

Here $\bar{n}_{3 i}=n_{3 i} / n_{32}$ is equal, respectively, to $y_{3}, 1, \bar{n}_{33}, \bar{n}_{34}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. Writing the sum as a linear $n_{0 i}$ polynomial, we want to prove that the coefficient of $n_{04}$ is the product of those for $n_{01}$ and $n_{02}$. This leads for $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$ to the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{n}_{3 i}=\Omega_{i}^{2}\left(\lambda_{4 i} \bar{n}_{34}+\lambda_{3 i} \bar{n}_{33}+y_{3} \lambda_{1 i}+\lambda_{2 i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, 4 \tag{C.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $\lambda_{j i}$ defined in (C.16)-(C.19). If (C.22) holds, the roots of $n_{03} \Sigma_{i}^{3}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{03} / n_{01}=\left(\bar{n}_{3 i} \Omega_{2}^{03} / \bar{n}_{34}-\Omega_{i}^{2} \lambda_{1 i} / \lambda_{3 i}\right) / \Omega_{i}^{3}, \quad \Omega_{i}^{3}=\bar{n}_{3 i} \Omega_{2}^{03}+\Omega_{i}^{2} \\
& n_{04} / n_{02}=\left(\bar{n}_{3} \Omega_{2}^{03} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}-\Omega_{i}^{2} \lambda_{2 i} / \lambda_{3 i}\right) / \Omega_{i}^{3} \tag{C.23}
\end{align*}
$$

We write down identities useful for the proof of (C.22):

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[4 P-(P+1) S] /\left(S^{2}-4 P\right)} \\
& =\left[\mu^{2}(1+P-S)+1+P+S\right] /\left[\mu^{2}(S-P-1)+1+P+S\right] \\
& \quad(\mu-1) P \lambda_{41}-(\mu+1) \lambda_{31}=[4 P-S(P+1)] E_{1} E_{2}  \tag{C.24}\\
& \left(E_{1} E_{2}\right)^{-1}= \\
& \quad\{[4 P-S(P+1)] S \\
& \left.\quad+\mu S^{2}(P-1)(S-P-1) /(S+P+1)\right\} / 8 P\left(S^{2}-4 P\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These identities depend upon $\mu, P$, and $S$, which are considered as independent variables. For their proofs we identify both sides of the relations, substituting $\mu^{2}$ by (C.5).
a. $\sum_{i}^{3}, i=1,2$. For $\Sigma_{1}^{3}$ the lhs of (C.22) is $y_{3}$ and we rewrite

$$
2 y_{3}[4 P-S(P+1)]-\hat{\lambda}_{21}=\bar{n}_{34} \hat{\lambda}_{41}+\bar{n}_{33} \lambda_{31}
$$

From (C.5) for $\bar{n}_{33}, \bar{n}_{34}$ we see that the rhs is linear in $y_{3}$. Further, $y_{3}$ is only in the first term of the lhs. We identify terms proportional to or independent of $y_{3}$. In the rhs the term proportional to $y_{3}$ is $2\left[(\mu-1) P \lambda_{41}-(\mu+1) \lambda_{31}\right] / D_{1} D_{2}$ and with the identity (C.24) it is equal to the $y_{3}$ term of the lhs. The $y_{3}$-independent term in the rhs is $2 / C_{1} C_{2}$ multiplied by the factor $-(\mu+1) P \lambda_{41}+(\mu-1) \lambda_{31}$. With the identity (C.24) this factor becomes $\left(S^{2}-4 P\right) E_{1} E_{2}$, so that the $y_{3}$-independent term is $-\lambda_{21}$. For $\Sigma_{2}^{3}$, we start with $\Sigma_{1}^{3}$ and use the transform $\left(n_{01} \leftrightarrow n_{02}, y_{3} \rightarrow y_{3}^{-1}, \quad \bar{n}_{3 i} \rightarrow \bar{n}_{3 i} y_{3}^{-1}\right)$, while the roots are obtained from (C.23):

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{03} \Sigma_{1}^{3} & =\Omega_{1}^{3}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} A_{5}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{6}\right) \\
n_{03} \Sigma_{2}^{3} & =\Omega_{2}^{3}\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}\right) \\
A_{5} & =\left(\Omega_{1}^{03} / \bar{n}_{34}+A_{1} \Omega_{1}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{1}^{3} \\
A_{6} & =\left(\Omega_{1}^{03} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}+A_{2} \Omega_{1}^{2}\right) / \Omega_{1}^{3}  \tag{C.25}\\
\Omega_{i}^{3} & =\Omega_{i}^{2}+\Omega_{i}^{03} \\
\tilde{A}_{5} & =\left(\Omega_{2}^{03} y_{3} / \bar{n}_{34}+\Omega_{2}^{2} \tilde{A}_{1}\right) / \Omega_{2}^{3} \\
\tilde{A}_{6} & =\left(\Omega_{2}^{03} / \bar{n}_{34}+\Omega_{2}^{2} \tilde{A}_{2}\right) / \Omega_{2}^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

b. $\Sigma_{i}^{3}, i=3,4$. For $\Sigma_{3}^{3}$, the lhs of (C.22) is $\bar{n}_{33}$ and we rewrite

$$
2\left(4 P-S^{2}\right)\left(\bar{n}_{33}+\bar{n}_{34} / P\right)=y_{3} \lambda_{13}+\lambda_{23}=y_{3} \lambda_{23}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)+\lambda_{23}
$$

With (C.5) for $\bar{n}_{33}, \bar{n}_{34}$, the lhs has a structure similar to the rhs: $y_{3} H(-\mu)+H(\mu)$ with

$$
H(-\mu)=4\left(4 P-S^{2}\right)[\mu(S-P-1)-S-P-1] / S E_{1} E_{2}
$$

and we must verify that $H(\mu)=\lambda_{23}$. Using the third identity (C.24), we find

$$
2 P H(\mu)=\frac{[\mu(1-P) S(S-P-1) /(S+P+1)-4 P+S(P+1)]}{[\mu(S-P-1)-S-P-1)]^{-1}}
$$

In the product we use (C.3) for $\mu^{2}$ and identify with $\lambda_{23}$. For $\Sigma_{4}^{3}$ we exchange $3 \leftrightarrow 4$ and finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{03} \Sigma_{3}^{3}=\left(n_{03}-A_{5} n_{01}\right)\left(n_{03}-\tilde{A}_{5} n_{02}\right) \Omega_{3}^{3} \\
& n_{03} \Sigma_{4}^{3}=\left(n_{03}-n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}\right)\left(n_{03}-n_{02} A_{6}\right)  \tag{C.26}\\
& \Omega_{3}^{3}=\bar{n}_{33} \Omega_{2}^{03}+\Omega_{3}^{2}, \quad \Omega_{4}^{3}=\Omega_{4}^{03}+\Omega_{4}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

## C.4. $\Sigma_{i}$ for $S=-2(P+1)$ and $\mu=(1-P) / 3(1+P)$

C.4.1. Calculations of the $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$. It is useful to introduce a new parameter $Q$, a function of $P$; from (C.5)-(C.6) we find for the cubic $y_{3}$ equation and $\bar{n}_{33}, \bar{n}_{34}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=3 P /\left(1+P+P^{2}\right), \quad y_{3}^{3}+Q^{2}+y_{3}\left(y_{3}+Q\right)(4+Q)=0 \tag{C.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
3 P \bar{n}_{33}=Q(2 P+1)+y_{3}(P+2), \quad 3 \bar{n}_{34}=Q(P+2)+y_{3}(2 P+1)
$$

For $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ the expressions of $A_{1}, A_{2}$, and $\Omega_{i}^{03}$ in terms of $y_{3}, \bar{n}_{33}$, and $\bar{n}_{34}$ are the same as (C.11). For $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$, due to the use of the transform $\mu \rightarrow-\mu$, we write down some parameters as functions of $\mu, P$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1} C_{2}=-2\left(1+P^{2}+4 P\right) / 3(P+1)+2 \mu(P-1)=4 P / Q(P+1) \\
E_{1} E_{2}=C_{1} C_{2}(\mu \rightarrow-\mu)=-4 P /(P+1) \\
\lambda_{21}=-8\left(P^{2}+P+1\right) E_{1} E_{2} / C_{1} C_{2}  \tag{C.28}\\
\lambda_{31}=-6 \mu(P+1)(2 P+1)+8 P^{2}+2 P+2 \\
\quad \Omega_{1}^{2}(2 P+1)(P+2)=\lambda_{31} / 2
\end{gather*}
$$

which lead with our chice for the square root of $\mu^{2}=[(1-P) / 3(1+P)]^{2}$ to

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=1 / 2 P, \quad A_{2}=2 / P, \quad \tilde{A}_{1}=Q / 2, \quad \tilde{A}_{2}=2 / Q \\
\Omega_{1}^{2}=6 P^{2} /(2 P+1)(P+2)=2 P \Omega_{3}^{2}  \tag{C.29}\\
\Omega_{2}^{2}=6 P / Q(P+2)(2 P+1)=\Omega_{4}^{2} / 2 P
\end{gather*}
$$

For $\Sigma_{3}^{3}$ we make explicit the expressions (C.25) and write down $\Omega_{2}^{03}$ for the $\Omega_{i}^{3}, i=3,4[\operatorname{see}(\mathrm{C} .26)]$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{5} & =\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}-3 y_{3}\right) /\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right)\left(P Q-y_{3}\right) \\
A_{6} & =\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right) /\left(P Q-y_{3}\right) \\
\tilde{A}_{5} & =Q\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}-3 y_{3}\right) /\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right)\left(y_{3}-Q P\right) \\
\tilde{A}_{6} & =\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right) /\left(Q\left(y_{3}-P Q\right)\right.  \tag{C.30}\\
\operatorname{def}: X & =9 P\left(\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}-y_{3}\right)=(P+2)(2 P+1)\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}\right) \\
X \Omega_{1}^{3} & =3 P\left(Q P-y_{3}\right)\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right) \\
X Q \Omega_{2}^{3} & =-3 P\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right)\left(P Q-y_{3}\right), \quad X \Omega_{2}^{03}=-\bar{n}_{34} 9 P
\end{align*}
$$

C.4.2. Sufficient Positivity Conditions for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}$. Let us choose $0<P<1$; then the cubic equation (C.27) with $0<Q<1$ has three real roots. We are interested in the $y_{3}$ root such that $-1<y_{3}<0$, which, of course, cannot be written down explicitly in terms of $Q$. However, this determination can be defined by appropriate choices of two associated quadratic equations:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
P \beta=1-\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, & \beta=2 Q /(3-Q)  \tag{C.31}\\
Q=-2 y_{3}\left[1-(1-\alpha)^{1 / 2}\right] / \alpha, & \alpha=4\left(1+y_{3}\right) /\left(4+y_{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

from which we easily find the following results.
Lemma 6. If $-1<y_{3}<0$, then $0<\alpha<1,0<Q<1,0<\beta<1$, and $0<P<1$.

In the sequel we always assume the $y_{3}$ determination such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<P<1, \quad-1<y_{3}<0, \quad 0<Q<1 \tag{C.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\Sigma_{i}>0$, we seek conditions on $P, n_{01}, n_{03}$.
a. Positivity for $\Sigma_{i}^{2}$. Since the roots are positive, we must check the signs of $n_{03}^{2}$ and the locations of the roots.

Lemma 7. $A_{1}<A_{2}, A_{1}<\tilde{A}_{2}, \tilde{A}_{1}<A_{2}, \Omega_{i}^{2}>0$.
$A_{1}<A_{2}$ is obvious from (C.29); $A_{1}<\tilde{A}_{2}$ is equivalent to $0<1+4$ $\left(P+P^{2}\right) ; A_{1}<\tilde{A}_{2}$ is equivalent to $0<4(1+P)+P^{2}$; and $\Omega_{i}^{2}$ as well as $P, Q$ are positive.

Lemma 8. $\quad \Sigma_{i}^{2}>0$ if $n_{01}<n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1} / A_{1}=n_{02} P Q, 0<n_{03}<n_{01} A_{1}$.
Due to $P Q<1$, we get $n_{01}<n_{02}$ and

$$
n_{01} A_{1}=\inf \left(n_{01} A_{1}, n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}, n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1}, n_{02} A_{2}\right)
$$

Since the coefficients of $n_{03}^{2}$ are positive and $n_{03}$ is outside the four intervals constituted by the roots, then $\Sigma_{i}^{2}>0$.
b. Positivity for $\sum_{i}^{03}$. Here two roots are positive ( $A_{3}, \widetilde{A}_{4}$ positive), while the two other are negative ( $\tilde{A}_{3}, A_{4}$ ). Similarly, the coefficients of $n_{03}^{2}$ for $i=1,3$ are positive, while those for $i=2,4$ are negative. For these results we must first find inequalities for $y_{3} / Q$ in (C.31).

Lemma 9. $-1<y_{3} / Q<-1 / 2$ and $y_{3} / Q+(2 P+1) /(P+2)<0$.
From (C.31) for $Q=Q\left(y_{3}, \alpha\right)$ we have both the inequalities $(1-\alpha)^{1 / 2}<1-\alpha / 2$ and $>1-\alpha$ and the first two inequalities of the lemma follow. For the last inequality we define a scaling parameter $\bar{y}$ and from the cubic equation (C.27) find $Q=Q(\bar{y})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}=y_{3} / Q \rightarrow Q=-(2 \bar{y}+1)^{2} /\left(\bar{y}+\bar{y}^{2}+\bar{y}^{3}\right), \quad-1<\bar{y}<-1 / 2 \tag{C.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (C.31) for $P=P(\beta)$ and $\left(1-\beta^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}>1-\beta^{2}$ we find the bound $P<2 Q /(3-Q)$. Further, since $(2 P+1) /(P+2)$ is increasing, it is bounded by the expression obtained by substituting the $Q$ (or $\bar{y}$ ) dependent bound of $P$ :

$$
\bar{y}+(2 P+1) /(P+2)<(1+2 \bar{y})(\bar{y}+1)\left(1-\bar{y}^{2}\right) / 2\left(\bar{y}+\bar{y}^{2}+\bar{y}^{3}\right)<0
$$

Lemma 10. $\bar{n}_{33}<0, \bar{n}_{34}>0$.
From (C.27) for $\bar{n}_{3 i}$ and Lemma 9 we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 P \bar{n}_{33} / Q & =2 P+1+(P+2) y_{3} / Q<0 \\
3 \bar{n}_{34} / Q & =P+2+(2 P+1) y_{3} / Q>1-P>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 11. $\vec{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}-y_{3}>0$ and $\Omega_{i}^{03}>0$ for $i=1,3 \quad(<0$ for $i=2,4$ ), and $A_{3}>0, A_{4}<0, \widetilde{A}_{3}<0$, and $\tilde{A}_{4}>0$.

From the explicit expression of $X$ given in (C.30), we see both the first inequality and $\Omega_{2}^{03}<0$. From the expressions (C.11) linking $\Omega_{2}^{03}$ and the other $\Omega_{i}^{03}$ and Lemma 10 the signs of $\Omega_{i}^{03}$ follow. The signs for the roots $A_{k}, \tilde{A}_{k}, k=3,4$, given in (C.11) are consequences of the signs of $y_{3}, \bar{n}_{33}, \bar{n}_{34}$.

Lemma 12. $0<A_{3}<\tilde{A}_{4}$.
We find $\tilde{A}_{4}-A_{3}=\left(y_{3}-\bar{n}_{33} \bar{n}_{34}\right) / y_{3} \bar{n}_{34}$ and apply Lemmas 10 and 11 .
Lemma 13. $\Sigma_{i}^{03}>0$ if $0<n_{01} A_{3}<n_{03}<n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}$.
For each $\Sigma_{i}^{03}$ one root is positive, while the other is negative. From the signs of the coefficients of $n_{03}^{2}$ and of the roots we obtain $\Sigma_{i}^{03}>0, i=1,3$, if $n_{03}>n_{01} A_{3} ; \Sigma_{i}^{03}>0, i=2,4$, if $0<n_{03}<n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}$. On the other hand, due to Lemma 12, the interval ( $n_{01} A_{3}, n_{01} A_{4}$ ) is not empty and $n_{03}$ must stay inside this interval.
c. Positivity for $\sum_{i}^{3}$. Here all the roots as well as the coefficients of $n_{03}^{3}$ are positive.

Lemma 14. $\Omega_{i}^{3}>0, i=1,2,3$.
Due to $X>0$ in (C.30), the sign of $\Omega_{1}^{3}$ is that of $2 Q+y_{3}>Q+y_{3}>0$ from Lemma 9. With this lemma the sign of $\Omega_{2}^{3}$, given by $-\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right)$, is positive. Finally, $\Omega_{3}^{3}$ written down in (C.26) is the sum of two positive terms.

Lemma 15. $\bar{n}_{34}<Q / 2,4 P / Q>1$, and $\Omega_{4}^{3}>0$.
We have $3 \bar{n}_{34}=Q(P+2)+y_{3}(2 P+1)$ and we find the first inequality. For the second we get $4 P / Q=4\left(1+P+P^{2}\right) / 3>1$. Let us rewrite $\Omega_{4}^{3}=\Omega_{4}^{03}+\Omega_{4}^{2}$ and apply these results:

$$
\Omega_{4}^{3}=\bar{n}_{34}\left(\Omega_{2}^{03}+2 P \Omega_{2}^{2} / \bar{n}_{34}\right)>\bar{n}_{34}\left(\Omega_{2}^{03}+4 P \Omega_{2}^{2} / Q\right)>\bar{n}_{34} \Omega_{2}^{3}>0
$$

Lemma 16. $A_{k}>0, \tilde{A}_{k}>0, k=5,6$.
These results follow from the explicit expressions (C.30) and from the above inequalities: $y_{3}<0,2 y_{3}+Q<0$, and $y_{3}+Q>0$.

The roots and the signs of $n_{03}^{2}$ are positive, so the $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$ will be positive for $n_{03}$ less than the smallest root and we must compare the $A_{k}, \bar{A}_{k}$.

Lemma 17. $\tilde{A}_{6}<A_{5}, A_{6}<\tilde{A}_{5}$, and $P Q \tilde{A}_{6}<A_{6}$.
Using Lemma 9 and the expressions written down in (C.30), we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{A}_{6} / A_{5}=A_{6} / \tilde{A}_{5}=-\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right)\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right) / Q\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}-3 y_{3}\right) \\
1-A_{6} / A_{5}  \tag{C.34}\\
=\left[Q\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+y_{3} Q\right)+2\left(y_{3}+Q+y_{3}^{2}\right)\right] / Q\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}-3 y_{3}\right)>0 \\
P Q \tilde{A}_{6}-A_{6}=\left[(P+1)\left(Q+y_{3}\right)+Q+P y_{3}\right] /\left(y_{3}-P Q\right)<0
\end{gather*}
$$

We notice that $Q+P y_{3}>Q+y_{3}>0$.
Lemma 18. $\Sigma_{i}^{3}>0$ if $0<n_{03}<n_{01} A_{6}$ and $n_{01}<n_{02} P Q$.
It is sufficient to prove that $n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}$ is the smallest among the four roots of $\Sigma_{i}^{3}$. From Lemma 17 and the assumptions of Lemma 18 we find $n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{6}<n_{02} P Q \tilde{A}_{6}<n_{02} A_{6}<n_{02} \widetilde{A}_{5}$ and $n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{6}<n_{01} A_{5}$.
d. Positivity for all $\Sigma_{i}$. For the positivity of $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, \Sigma_{i}^{3}, \Sigma_{i}^{03}$ separately we have found three $n_{03}$ intervals. It remains to show that their intersections is not empty. We want to prove that the interval ( $n_{01} A_{3}, n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}$ ) is the intersection of $\left(0, n_{01} A_{1}\right),\left(0, n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{6}\right)$, and ( $\left.n_{01} A_{3}, n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{4}\right)$.

Lemma 19. $\tilde{A}_{6}<A_{1}$ and $A_{3}<\tilde{A}_{6}<\tilde{A}_{4}$.
These results come from the explicit expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{A}_{6}-A_{1}=\bar{n}_{34}(2 P+1) / 2 P\left(y_{3}-P Q\right)<0 \\
& A_{3}-\tilde{A}_{6}=(2 P+1)\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}\right) / 3 y_{3}\left(P Q-y_{3}\right)<0  \tag{C.35}\\
& \tilde{A}_{6}-\tilde{A}_{4}=6(P+1)\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+Q y_{3}\right) / Q\left(y_{3}-P Q\right) \bar{n}_{34}<0
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2. Sufficient conditions in order to have all $12 \Sigma_{i}>0$ are

$$
0<P<1 \quad\left(-1<y_{3}<0\right), \quad 0<n_{01}<n_{02} P Q, \quad n_{01} A_{3}<n_{03}<n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}
$$

with $A_{3}=\bar{n}_{33} / y_{3}$ and $Q, y_{3}, \bar{n}_{33}$ functions of $P$ given in (C.27), while $\tilde{A}_{6}$ is written down in (C.30). Finally, we write $z_{j}=z_{+}$such that the product is $P$ and the sum $-2(P+1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{ \pm}=-P-1 \pm\left(P^{2}+P+1\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad z_{+}+1>0, \quad z_{-}+1<0 \tag{C.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

C.4.3. $\mathbf{T}_{1} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}>\mathbf{0}$. The sign of $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is given by the product of two quadratic $n_{03}$ polynomials:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2} 4 n_{03}^{2} / 3(P+1)=\mathscr{T}_{1} \mathscr{T}_{2}, \quad \mathscr{T}_{i}=n_{03}^{2}-2 n_{03} \alpha_{ \pm}+n_{01} n_{02} / z_{ \pm} \\
\operatorname{def} \alpha_{ \pm}=n_{01} / E_{ \pm}+n_{02} / C_{ \pm}, \quad C_{ \pm}=-2 /\left[1 \pm(P+2) /\left(P^{2}+P+1\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \\
E_{ \pm}=-6 P /\left[2 P^{2}+2 P-1 \pm(2 P+1)\left(P^{2}+P+1\right)^{1 / 2}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

with $E_{ \pm}, C_{ \pm}$the quantities $E_{i}, C_{i}$ for $z_{i}=z_{ \pm}$defined in (C.2) for the general formalism and calculated here for $S=-2(P+1)$ and $3 \mu=(1-P) /(1+P)$. For each $i$ value the two roots of the polynomial $\mathscr{T}_{i}$ are real and opposite ( $z_{ \pm}<0$ ). It follows that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ if, for instance, $0<n_{03}<\inf \left(n_{03, z_{+}}, n_{03, z_{-}}\right)$, where the two positive roots are

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{03, z_{ \pm}}=\alpha_{ \pm}+\sqrt{\Delta_{ \pm}}, \quad \Delta_{ \pm}=\alpha_{ \pm}^{2}-n_{01} n_{02} / z_{ \pm} \tag{C.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 2 we must have $n_{03}<n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}<n_{01} A_{1}$ (see Lemma 19). Then a sufficient condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0 \quad \text { if } \quad n_{03, z \pm}>n_{01} A_{1}=n_{01} / 2 P \tag{C.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 20. $C_{+}<0, E_{+}<0, \alpha_{+}<0$; and $C_{-}>0, E_{-}>0, \alpha_{-}>0$. These are consequences of the assumption (C.32) for $P$ and $n_{0 i}>0$.

Lemma 21. def $X_{+}=n_{02} / z_{+}+n_{01} / 4 P^{2}-\alpha_{+} / P$; then $X_{+}<0$ and $n_{03, z_{+}}>n_{01} / 2 P$.

We have

$$
X_{+}=n_{02}\left(1 / z_{+}-1 / P C_{+}\right)+n_{01}\left(1 / 4 P^{2}-1 / P E_{+}\right)
$$

Since the coefficient of $n_{01}$ is positive and $n_{01}<n_{02} P Q$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{+} / n_{02} & <1 / z_{+}-1 / P C_{+}+Q / 4 P-Q / E_{+} \\
& =-\left(P^{2}+5 P / 2+1\right) / 2\left(P^{3}+P^{2}+P\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we get $X_{+} n_{01}+\alpha_{+}^{2}<\alpha_{+}^{2}$ or

$$
\left(n_{01} / 2 P-\alpha_{+}\right)^{2}<\alpha_{+}^{2}-n_{01} n_{02} / z_{+}=U_{+}^{2}
$$

Taking the positive square-root determination in both sides of the inequality, we get $\Delta_{+}^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{+}=n_{03, z_{+}}>n_{01} / 2 P$.

Lemma 22. def $X_{-}=n_{01} / 2 P-\alpha_{-} ;$then $X_{-}<0$ and $n_{03, z_{-}}>$ $n_{01} / 2 P$.

We have $X_{-}=n_{01}\left(1 / 2 P-1 / E_{-}\right)-n_{02} / C_{-}$. The coefficient of $n_{01}$ is still positive for our solutions with $\Sigma_{i}>0, n_{01} \sup <n_{02} P Q$, then

$$
X_{-} / n_{02}<-1 / C_{-}+Q / 2-Q P / E_{-}=(2 P+1) / 2-\left(P^{2}+P+1\right)^{1 / 2}<0
$$

Consequently, we get $n_{01} / 2 P<\alpha_{-}<\alpha_{-}+A_{-}^{1 / 2}=n_{03, z-}$.
Theorem 2bis. The sufficient conditions of Theorem 2 lead to $N_{i}$ solutions with $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$; then, for these solutions, their asymptotic positivity conditions $\Sigma_{i}>0$ are satisfied.
C.4.4. Another $\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{0 3}}$ Interval Leading to $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i}>\mathbf{0}$. For $\Sigma_{i}^{2}, \Sigma_{i}^{3}$ all coefficients of $n_{03}^{2}$ as well as all roots are positive. Instead of the $n_{03}$ interval less than the smallest root as in Theorem 2, we choose the $n_{03}$ interval larger than the highest root and the two $\Sigma_{i}$ will be positive. Further, if this highest root belongs to the interval ( $n_{01} A_{3}, n_{01} \tilde{A}_{4}$ ), then $\sum_{i}^{03}>0$ with $n_{01} A_{3}$ replaced by the highest root. We still assume $0<P<1$ and $-1<y_{3}<0$.

Lemma 23. If $n_{01} / n_{02}>A_{2} / \tilde{A}_{2}=Q / P>1$ and if $n_{03} / n_{01}>$ sup $\left(\tilde{A}_{2}, A_{5}\right)$, then $\Sigma_{i}^{2}>0, \Sigma_{i}^{3}>0$.

Due to the assumption and Lemma 7, we find

$$
n_{01} \tilde{A}_{2}=\sup \left(n_{01} A_{1}, n_{02} A_{2}, n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{2}, n_{02} \tilde{A}_{1}\right)
$$

and $\Sigma_{i}^{2}>0$. Further from the relation

$$
A_{2} / \tilde{A}_{2}-\tilde{A}_{5} / A_{5}=3 \bar{n}_{33} /\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right)>0
$$

we see that

$$
n_{01} A_{5} / n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}>A_{2} A_{5} / \tilde{A}_{2} \tilde{A}_{5}>1
$$

Adding the results of Lemma 17, we get

$$
n_{01} A_{5}=\sup \left(n_{01} A_{5}, n_{02} A_{6}, n_{01} \tilde{A}_{6}, n_{02} \tilde{A}_{5}\right)
$$

and $\Sigma_{i}^{3}>0$.
Lemma 24. $A_{3}<\tilde{A}_{2}<\tilde{A}_{4}, A_{5}<\tilde{A}_{4}$, and $\Sigma_{i}^{03}>0$ for $\sup \left(\tilde{A}_{2}, A_{5}\right)<$ $n_{03} / n_{01}<\tilde{A}_{4}$.

These results are deduced from the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{A}_{2} / \tilde{A}_{4}-1=(2 P+1)\left(2 y_{3}+Q\right) / 3<0 \\
& A_{3} / \tilde{A}_{2}-1=(2 P+1) Q\left(2 Q / 3 P y_{3}-1 / 6\right)<0 \\
& A_{5} / \tilde{A}_{4}-1=\left(Q^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+y_{3} Q\right)(2 P+1)\left(y_{3}-Q\right) / 3\left(2 Q+y_{3}\right)\left(Q P-y_{3}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and applying the previous results: $y_{3}<0,2 y_{3}+Q<0, Q+y_{3}>0$. We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. The $\Sigma_{i}$ are positive if $P$ and the $n_{0 i}$ are chosen such that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<P<1 \quad\left(-1<y_{3}<0\right), \quad n_{01}>n_{02} Q / P \\
\sup \left(\tilde{A}_{2}, A_{5}\right)<n_{03} / n_{01}<\tilde{A}_{4} \tag{C.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

We notice that numerically we have found $\tilde{A}_{2}<A_{5}$.
The problem of $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0$ remains as in Section C.4.3. This property holds if $n_{03}>\sup \left(n_{03, z_{+}}, n_{03, z_{-}}\right)$, which gives for the allowed interval the sufficient condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}>0 \quad \text { if } \quad n_{03, z_{ \pm}}<n_{01} \widetilde{A}_{2}=n_{01} 2 / Q \tag{C.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 25. $\operatorname{def} X_{+}=n_{01} / z_{+}+4 n_{01} / Q^{2}-4 \alpha_{+} / Q>0$ and $n_{03, z_{+}}<$ $2 n_{01} / Q$.
$\alpha_{+}, z_{+}, C_{+}, E_{+}$, and $\alpha_{-}, \ldots$ are written down in (C.36)-(C.38). We have

$$
X_{+}=n_{01}\left(4 / Q^{2}-4 / Q E_{+}\right)+n_{02}\left(1 / z_{+}-4 / Q C_{+}\right)
$$

Due to $E_{+}<0$, the coefficient of $n_{01}$ is positive; for $n_{02}$ we find $(2 P+1)$ $\left[P-1+\left(1+P+P^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] / 3 P$ and $X_{+}>0$. Then we get $X_{+} n_{01}+\alpha_{+}^{2}>\alpha_{+}^{2}$ or $\left(n_{01} 2 / Q-\alpha_{+}\right)^{2}>A_{+}$. Taking the positive square-root determination in both sides, $n_{01} 2 / Q>\Delta_{+}^{1 / 2}+\alpha_{+}=n_{03, z+}$.

Lemma 26. $\operatorname{def} X_{-}=n_{01} / z_{-}+4 n_{01} / Q^{2}-4 \alpha_{-} / Q>0$ and $n_{03, z_{-}}<$ $2 n_{01} / Q$.

We have

$$
X_{-}=n_{01}\left(4 / Q^{2}-4 / Q E_{-}\right)+n_{02}\left(1 / z_{-}-4 / Q C\right)
$$

The coefficient of $n_{01}$ is positive:

$$
1 / Q-1 / E_{-}=\left[3+(2 P+1)\left(1+P+P^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] / 6 P
$$

while the coefficient of $n_{02}$ is negative:

$$
1 / z_{-}-4 / Q C_{-}=(2 P+1)\left[P-1-\left(1+P+P^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] 3 P
$$

$X_{-}$is positive if it is positive for $\sup n_{02}=n_{01} P / Q$. We find

$$
X_{-} / n_{01}>\left[6-P-P^{2}+2 P^{3}+(2 P+1)(2-P)\left(1+P+P^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] / 3 P Q>0
$$

From $X_{-} n_{01}+\alpha_{-}^{2}>\alpha_{-}^{2}$ or $\left(n_{01} 2 / Q-\alpha_{-}\right)^{2}>\Delta_{-}$. With similar calculations as above we find $n_{01} 2 / Q-\alpha_{-}=n_{01}\left(2 / Q-1 / E_{-}\right)-n_{02} / C_{-}$; the coefficient
of $n_{01}$ is positive while the coefficient of $n_{02}$ is negative. However, for $\sup n_{02}$ the sum is still positive. Consequently, taking the positive square root in both sides of the last inequality, we find $n_{01} / 2 Q-\alpha_{-} \Delta_{-}^{1 / 2}$ or $n_{03, z_{-}}<2 n_{01} / Q$.
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